

Discrete Mathematics 153 (1996) 29-39

# Random generation of finite Sturmian words $\stackrel{\text{tr}}{\Rightarrow}$

Jean Berstel<sup>a</sup>, Michel Pocchiola<sup>b,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Laboratoire d'Informatique Théorique et de Programmation, Institut Blaise Pascal, 4 place Jussieu, 75252 Paris Cédex 05, France. <sup>b</sup> Département de Mathématiques et Informatique, Ecole normale supérieure, ura cnrs 1327, 45 rue d'Ulm, 75230 Paris Cédex 05, France.

Received 31 August 1993; revised 12 July 1994

## Abstract

We present a bijection between the set of factors of given length of Sturmian words and some set of triples of nonnegative integers. This bijection and its inverse are both computable in linear time. Its applications are: a bijective proof of Mignosi's formula for counting Sturmian words, a linear probabilistic algorithm for generating finite Sturmian word at random, and, using similar techniques, a linear on-line algorithm for computing the longest Sturmian prefix of a given word. The construction of the bijection relies on concepts from combinatorial geometry.

# 1. Introduction

A doubly infinite sequence  $w = \cdots w_{-2}w_{-1}w_0w_1w_2\cdots$  over the alphabet  $\{0,1\}$  is called *Sturmian* if there exist real numbers  $\alpha, \beta$  with  $0 \le \alpha, \beta < 1$  such that

$$w_n = |\alpha(n+1) + \beta| - |\alpha n + \beta| \tag{1}$$

for all integers *n*. Sturmian words have a long history and appear under a great variety of denominations. A clear exposition of early work by J. Bernoulli, Christoffel, and A.A. Markov is given in the book by Venkov [29]. The term 'Sturmian' has been used by Hedlund and Morse in their development of symbolic dynamics [11-13]. The same objects are known as 'characteristic' sequences, 'cutting' sequences, 'Beatty' sequences, 'nonhomogeneous spectra', 'billiard' trajectories and others.

There is a large literature about properties of these sequences (see, for example, [27,9,28]). From a combinatorial point of view, they have been considered by Rauzy [22-24], Brown [5], Ito and Yasutomi [14] in particular in relation with iterated morphisms, and by Séébold [26] and Mignosi [20]. Sturmian words appear in ergodic

<sup>\*</sup> Partially supported by PRC "Mathématiques et Informatique".

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. E-mail: Pocchiola@dmi.ens.fr.

<sup>0012-365</sup>X/96/\$15.00 © 1996—Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved SSDI 0012-365X(95)00125-5

theory [21], in computer graphics [4], and in crystallography [18]. Dulucq and Gouyou-Beauchamps [7] considered the set of all finite words that are factors of some Sturmian word. They proved that the complement, say C, of this set is a context-free language, and they conjectured that C is inherently ambiguous. To show this, they in fact conjectured a formula for the number of factors of length m of Sturmian words. Since the generating series of these numbers is transcendental, the Chomsky-Schützenberger theorem would prove inherent ambiguity (see [8] for a systematic exposition). This formula was proved later by Mignosi [20] and will be obtained in the present paper as an easy corollary of our main result.

The aim of this paper is to present a bijection between the set  $\mathscr{S}$  of factors of length *m* of Sturmian words and some set  $\mathscr{T}$  of triples (a, p, q) of nonnegative integers bounded by *m*. This bijection and its inverse are both computable in linear time. The derivation of the bijection involves the analysis of the partition of lines induced by the lattice points and some elementary concepts of combinatorial geometry.

The bijection has several interesting applications. First we obtain a straightforward proof of Mignosi's enumeration formula for factors of Sturmian words. A second consequence is an algorithm for random generation of Sturmian words of given length which may have some application in computer graphics. More precisely, it is a probabilistic algorithm in the sense that it successfully terminates only in the average. A third application is of interest in pattern recognition: we give a linear-time algorithm to compute the longest prefix of a word that is Sturmian. It is on-line and tests in constant time whether the given prefix can be extended by the next input symbol to a Sturmian word. Our algorithm is simpler and more general than [3].

# 2. Results

We call a factor of length m of a Sturmian word, a *Sturmian m-factor*. It is easily verified that the sequence

$$(\lfloor \alpha(n+1) + \beta \rfloor - \lfloor \alpha n + \beta \rfloor)_{0 \le n < m}$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

ranges over all Sturmian *m*-factors when  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  range over [0, 1[. We denote by  $\mathscr{S}$  the set of Sturmian *m*-factors.

The main result of the paper is a description of a natural bijection between the set  $\mathscr{S}$  and a subset  $\mathscr{T}$  of  $\{0, 1, \ldots, m\}^3$ . The set  $\mathscr{T}$  is defined by

$$\mathscr{T} = \{(m,1,1)\} \cup \{(a,p,q) \mid 1 \leq q \leq p \leq a+p \leq m, \operatorname{gcd}(p,q) = 1\}.$$
(3)

Let B be the mapping which associates with a triple  $(a, p, q) \in \mathcal{T}$  the sequence

$$B(a, p, q) = u_1 - u_0, u_2 - u_1, \dots, u_m - u_{m-1},$$
(4)

where

$$u_n = \begin{cases} \lfloor \alpha n + \beta \rfloor & \text{for } n = 0, \dots, a, \\ \lceil \alpha n + \beta \rceil - 1 & \text{for } n = a + 1, \dots, m, \end{cases}$$
(5)

with  $\alpha = q/p$  and  $\beta = \lceil \alpha a \rceil - \alpha a$ .

The sequence B(a, p, q) is a  $\{0, 1\}$ -sequence because  $\alpha$  is less than 1.

**Theorem 1.** The mapping B is a bijection from  $\mathcal{T}$  onto the set  $\mathcal{S}$  of Sturmian *m*-factors.

Observe that B is computable in linear time. The fact that the inverse mapping  $B^{-1}$  is also computable in linear time will be a consequence of Proposition 3 below.

The proof is based on a geometric encoding of Sturmian words. Sturmian *m*-factors are defined by straight lines but many different straight lines may define the same Sturmian *m*-factor. Each equivalence class is a convex polygon in the space of lines. As we shall see each polygon can be characterized by choosing a suitable edge. In some sense which will be made precise this is equivalent to representing a class by a pair of lines. This representation is specially convenient for computation because it allows a simple derivation of the associated triple in  $\mathcal{T}$ . The construction will be detailed in the next section.

We now come to the applications.

Mignosi [20] proved the following result.

**Proposition 1.** The number of factors of length m of Sturmian words is given by the sum

$$1 + \sum_{p=1}^{p=m} (m - p + 1)\phi(p), \tag{6}$$

where  $\phi$  is the Euler function, i.e.,  $\phi(n)$  is the number of natural integers less than n and coprime to n.

The authors of the present paper gave another proof in [2]. In view of the theorem above this proposition becomes straightforward. Indeed, formula (6) counts precisely the number of elements in the set  $\mathcal{T}$ .

The next application concerns random generation of Sturmian *m*-factors. For this it suffices in view of Theorem 1 to generate triples (a, p, q) in the set  $\mathcal{T}$  with uniform distribution. This is done by the following algorithm.

#### Algorithm 1

```
(1) Repeat
Generate uniformly a triple (a, p,q) ∈ {0,...,m}<sup>3</sup>
until (a, p,q) is in T;
```

(2) Compute B(a, p, q).

Step 1 is the usual rejection algorithm: we generate triples (a, p, q) in  $\{0, 1, \ldots, m\}^3$  uniformly and reject those which are not in  $\mathcal{T}$ . Since the size of  $\mathcal{T}$  is asymptotically equal to  $m^3/\pi^2$  (see [10, p. 268]) the expected number of samplings is asymptotically  $\pi^2$ , and therefore is independent of m. Thus we have:

**Proposition 2.** Algorithm 1 generates factors of length m of Sturmian words in linear expected time.

Finally, we consider the problem of testing if a given word is Sturmian. More precisely, we shall prove the following result.

**Proposition 3.** Given a word w in  $\{0,1\}^*$ , its maximal Sturmian prefix z can be computed on-line in time proportional to the length of z.

Briefly, the algorithm runs as follows. For each Sturmian prefix we maintain the polygon (in the space of lines) of all lines defining this Sturmian factor. We incrementally transform this polygon by adding the geometric constraints defined by a new letter. The main point is that this can be done in constant time because the current polygon always has at most 4 edges. Our algorithm is on-line, this means that it is not necessary (contrary to the algorithm in [3]) to read the whole word w before starting the decision process. Details will be given in the last section.

#### 3. The bijection

Recall that a *Sturmian m-factor* is a factor of length m of a Sturmian word, and that the sequence

$$(\lfloor \alpha(n+1) + \beta \rfloor - \lfloor \alpha n + \beta \rfloor)_{0 \le n < m}$$
<sup>(7)</sup>

ranges over all Sturmian *m*-factors when  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  range over [0, 1[. We denote by  $\mathscr{S}$  the set of Sturmian *m*-factors.

In what follows, we consider the Sturmian *m*-factor (7) as a function of the straight line represented in Cartesian coordinates (x, y) by the linear equation

$$y = \alpha x + \beta. \tag{8}$$

The real  $\alpha$  is the *slope* of the straight line and the real  $\beta$  may be called the *intercept*. For a straight line  $\ell$  with Eq. (8) we denote by  $\ell^+$  the closed half-plane  $y \leq \alpha x + \beta$ .

Let  $\mathscr{H}$  be the set of straight lines with slope and intercept in the closed interval [0,1] and let  $\mathscr{L}$  be the subset of those straight lines whose slope and intercept lie in the semi-open interval [0,1[. For  $\ell$  and  $\ell'$  in  $\mathscr{H}$ , the segment  $[\ell,\ell']$  is the set of straight lines with equation

$$y = (u\alpha + (1-u)\alpha')x + (u\beta + (1-u)\beta')$$



Fig. 1. The duality transform.

where u ranges over [0, 1] and where  $\alpha, \alpha'$  and  $\beta, \beta'$  are the slopes and intercepts of  $\ell$  and  $\ell'$ , respectively. Related notions, such as *open segment*, *polygon*, *convexity*, etc. are defined as usual and freely used in the sequel.

To represent sets of lines by sets of points we use a *duality* transform  $x \mapsto x^*$ . Duality maps the line  $\ell \in \mathscr{H}$  with equation  $y = \alpha x + \beta$  to the point  $\ell^*$  with coordinates  $(\alpha, -\beta)$  and the point p with coordinates  $(\alpha, \beta)$  to the line  $p^*$  with equation  $y = \alpha x - \beta$ . It can be easily verified that the duality transform is an involution, and that it preserves the incidence relation i.e.,

$$p \in \ell \Leftrightarrow \ell^* \in p^*, \qquad p \in \ell^+ \Leftrightarrow \ell^* \in (p^*)^+.$$
 (9)

The (x, y)-plane and  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -plane are called the *primal* and *dual* plane, respectively. The segment  $[\ell, \ell']$  is then represented in the dual plane by the segment  $[\ell^*, \ell'^*]$  (see Fig. 1).

We define the *upper closure* of a subset X of the plane to be the set of points (x, y) of the topological closure of X such that  $(x, y - \varepsilon) \in X$  for every sufficiently small positive  $\varepsilon$ . We define then the *upper closure* of a set of lines by duality.

For a straight line  $\ell$  with Eq. (8), we denote by  $S(\ell)$  the Sturmian *m*-factor given by (7). This defines a mapping S from  $\mathscr{L}$  onto  $\mathscr{S}$ . The mapping S induces a natural partition of  $\mathscr{L}$  whose parts are the sets  $S^{-1}(s)$  when s ranges over  $\mathscr{S}$ . The following proposition relates this partition to the lattice set P defined by

$$P = \{ (x, y) \in N \mid 0 \leq x \leq m \}.$$

$$(10)$$

**Proposition 4.** Two lines  $\ell$  and  $\ell'$  in  $\mathcal{L}$  define the same Sturmian m-factor, i.e.  $S(\ell) = S(\ell')$ , if and only if

$$\ell^+ \cap P = \ell'^+ \cap P.$$

**Proof.** The set  $\ell^+ \cap P$  is clearly defined by the lattice points  $(n, \lfloor \alpha n + \beta \rfloor)$  for n = 0, ..., m, and consequently by the Sturmian *m*-factor defined by  $\ell$  since  $\beta \in [0, 1[.$   $\Box$ 

As shown by the previous proposition the Sturmian *m*-factors are closely related to the way lines separate the lattice set P or equivalently the way lattice points of P separate the set of lines  $\mathcal{L}$ . We make a brief account of this classical subject of combinatorial geometry.

Each lattice point p in P induces a partition of  $\mathcal{L}$  in at most three parts, namely,

$$\{ \ell \in \mathcal{L} \mid p \in \ell \}$$
 the lines through  $p$ ,  
  $\{ \ell \in \mathcal{L} \mid p \notin \ell^+ \}$  the lines below  $p$ ,  
  $\{ \ell \in \mathcal{L} \mid p \notin \ell^+, p \notin \ell \}$  the lines above  $p$ .

We denote by  $\mathscr{A}$  the intersection of these partitions as p ranges over P. For any line  $\ell \in \mathscr{H}$ , let  $c(\ell)$  denote the number of lattice points in P lying on the line  $\ell$ , i.e.,  $c(\ell) = \operatorname{Card}(\ell \cap P)$ . It is clear that the function c is constant on each part of  $\mathscr{A}$ . One can easily verify that the cells (or parts) of  $\mathscr{A}$  are relatively open convex polygons of  $\mathscr{H}$  of dimension 2, 1 or 0 according to the value 0, 1 or  $\ge 2$  of the function c on the cell. The cells of dimension 0, 1 and 2 are, respectively, called the vertices, edges and faces of the partition  $\mathscr{A}$ ; their sets are denoted  $\mathscr{V}$ ,  $\mathscr{E}$  and  $\mathscr{F}$ , respectively.

By the duality transform the partition  $\mathscr{A}$  is represented by the arrangement of the square  $\mathscr{H}^* = \{(\alpha, \beta) \mid 0 \le \alpha, -\beta \le 1\}$  induced by the dual lines in  $P^*$  which intersect the square  $\mathscr{L}^*$ , namely the m(m+1)/2 dual lines of the lattice points (x, y) such that



Fig. 2. The partition  $\mathscr{A}$  for m = 5.



Fig. 3. Illustrate the proof of Proposition 6.

 $1 \le y \le x \le m$ . The partition  $\mathscr{A}$ , for m = 5, is represented in the dual plane in Fig. 2: there are 17 vertices, 40 edges and 24 faces.

The following proposition states precisely the relation between the partitions  $\mathcal{A}$  and the partition induced by the mapping S.

**Proposition 5.** Two lines  $\ell$  and  $\ell'$  of  $\mathcal{L}$  define the same Sturmian m-factor, i.e.  $S(\ell) = S(\ell')$  if and only if  $\ell$  and  $\ell'$  belong to the upper closure of the same face of the partition  $\mathcal{A}$ .

**Proof.** Follows from Proposition 4 and the discussion above.  $\Box$ 

In particular, the function S is constant on each cell of the partition  $\mathcal{A}$ .

We denote still by S the extension of S to  $\mathscr{A}$ . The restriction of S to  $\mathscr{F}$  is a bijection from  $\mathscr{F}$  onto  $\mathscr{S}$ . The encoding of the Sturmian words by the faces of the partition  $\mathscr{A}$  will be done by selecting a distinguished edge in the upper boundary of each face.

**Proposition 6.** The upper (lower) boundary of a face of the partition  $\mathcal{A}$  contains one or two edges.

**Proof.** Assume, on the contrary, that the upper boundary of some face contains a sequence of three consecutive edges  $e_1, e_2$  and  $e_3$ . Let  $p_i$  be the common lattice point of lines in  $e_i$  for i = 1, 2, 3. The configuration is depicted in Fig. 3. We assume that the edges are indexed in decreasing slopes in the dual plane, and consequently the lattice points  $p_i$  are indexed in decreasing first coordinate. By assumption, the lines  $(p_3 p_2)$  and  $(p_2 p_1)$  define the same Sturmian *m*-factor, and consequently, according to Proposition 4, the cone of lines in the segment  $[(p_3 p_2)(p_2 p_1)]$  contains no lattice point in *P* excepted the  $p_i$ . Now consider the points  $p_2 + \overline{p_3 p_2}$  and  $p_2 + \overline{p_1 p_2}$ ; they clearly belong to the cone and at least one of these points belongs to the lattice set *P*;

contradiction. A similar argument is used for the lower boundary.  $\Box$ 

**Corollary 1.** The restrictions of the function S to the sets  $\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}$  are injective (but not surjective), surjective (but not injective) and bijective, respectively.

For  $e \in \mathscr{E}$  we denote by  $\hat{e}$  the common lattice point of the straight lines in e, and we denote by  $\sup e$  (inf e) the straight line through  $\hat{e}$  whose slope is the upper bound (lower bound) of the slopes of the lines in e. Clearly, e = ] inf e,  $\sup e[$ . Next, recall that the Farey sequence  $\mathscr{F}_n$  of order n is the increasing sequence of irreducible fractions between 0 and 1 whose denominators do not exceed n. If we draw a ray through the lattice point (0,0) and rotate it round the origin in the counterclockwise direction from initial position along the axis x, it will pass in turn through each lattice point (p,q)such that q/p is a Farey fraction (see [10, Ch. III p. 29]).

**Proposition 7.** Let  $e \in \mathscr{E}$ , let (a,b) be the coordinates of the lattice point  $\hat{e}$ , and let  $y = \alpha x + \beta$  be the equation of the line  $\sup e \in \mathscr{L}$  and  $y = \alpha' x + \beta'$  be the equation of the line  $\inf e \in \mathscr{H}$ . Then

- (1)  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha'$  are rationals, and  $0 \leq \alpha' < \alpha \leq 1$ ,
- (2)  $0 \leq a \leq m$ ,
- (3)  $\alpha'$  and  $\alpha$  are consecutive terms of the Farey serie  $\mathscr{F}_{\max(m-a,a)}$ ,
- (4)  $b = \lceil \alpha a \rceil$ ,  $\beta = \lceil \alpha a \rceil \alpha a$ ,
- (5) The Sturmian m-factor S(e) is B(a, p, q).

**Proof.** Claims (1)–(3) are obvious. Claim (4) follows from the relation  $b = \alpha a + \beta$  with  $0 \le \beta < 1$ . Finally, claim (5) is obtained from the observation that  $S(e) = S(\ell)$  where  $\ell$  is any sufficiently small clockwise rotation of sup *e* around the point  $\hat{e}$ .  $\Box$ 

Let  $\alpha = q/p$  and  $\alpha' = q'/p'$ . An alternative way to compute S(e) is to use the line in e whose slope is

$$\alpha'' = \frac{q+q'}{p+p'}.$$

The computation of  $\alpha'$  from  $\alpha$  can be made in time O(log *m*) [10, Ch. III]. The use of  $\alpha'$  simplifies the practical implementation of *B*; indeed, one gets

$$u_n = \lfloor \alpha'' n + \beta'' \rfloor$$

for all n = 0, ..., m. Thus, in practice, a call to a system routine (usually called 'drawline') suffices.

The mapping S is not injective on the set of edges  $\mathscr{E}$ . We therefore shall distinguish a special edge among all edges (in fact at most 2 according to Proposition 5) associated with some Sturmian *m*-factor. We distinguish the edge *e* for which the first coordinate of  $\hat{e}$  is minimal or equivalently the edge of minimal slope in the dual plane. Let  $\mathscr{E}'$ be the set of distinguished elements of  $\mathscr{E}$ .



Fig. 4. Distinguished edges.

It remains to identify the set of triples (a, p, q) associated with the edges of  $\mathscr{E}'$ . Here is the place where the set  $\mathscr{T}$  appears.

**Proposition 8.** The mapping  $e \mapsto (a, p, q)$  which associates with each edge e = ] inf e, sup e[ the triple (a, p, q) such that

- a is the first coordinate of the lattice point  $\hat{e}$ ,

-q/p (with p and q coprime) is the slope of supe

is a bijection from  $\mathcal{E}'$  onto the set  $\mathcal{T}$ .

**Proof.** An edge e is distinguished if and only if e is the rightmost edge (in the dual plane) of the upper boundary of some face of  $\mathscr{A}$ . In the primal plane this means that exists a lattice point in P on the line  $\sup e$  whose first coordinate is greater than the first coordinate of  $\hat{e}$  (see Fig. 4). Straightforward calculations give then the result.  $\Box$ 

The affiliation of Propositions 8, and 7 gives Theorem 1.

#### 4. Recognition of Sturmian *m*-factors

In this section we consider the problem of testing whether a given word is Sturmian. Let  $s = v_1 v_2 \dots v_m$  be any  $\{0, 1\}$ -word of length *m* and let  $u_0, \dots, u_m$  be the integer sequence defined by

 $u_n = v_1 + v_2 + \cdots + v_n$ 

(this is the 'spectrum' of s in the sense of [3]).

The word s is a Sturmian *m*-factor if and only if there exists a line  $\ell \in \mathscr{L}$  such that  $S(\ell) = s$ . Let  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  be the slope and the intercept of the line  $\ell$ . The word s is a Sturmian *m*-factor if and only if the system of linear inequations

$$u_n \leqslant \alpha n + \beta < u_n + 1, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, m \tag{11}$$

admits a solution. Observe that each letter in the word s adds two constraints to the above linear system.

Using Megiddo's algorithm [25, Ch. 15] the existence of a solution to (11) can be decided in linear time in the number of constraints i.e., in time O(m). However, we can do much better in our case.

**Proposition 9.** Given a word in  $\{0,1\}^*$ , its maximal Sturmian prefix can be computed on-line in time proportional to its size.

**Proof.** For each Sturmian prefix we maintain the minimal representation of the polygon (in the space of lines) of all lines defining this Sturmian factor. We incrementally transform this polygon by adding the two geometric constraints defined by a new letter and by removing the superfluous constraints. Since, according to Proposition 6, the size of the current polygon is 3 or 4 this amounts to a constant number of operations. Each operation requires to compute the position of a lattice point with respect to a lattice line and thus involves only the computation of the sign of an integral determinant.  $\Box$ 

#### 5. Final remarks

Several people have pointed out to us that the problem of testing whether a given finite word is a Sturmian factor is very basic in pattern recognition, and has been investigated by many people. A comprehensive survey appears with a huge bibliography in [19]. More recently, Lindenbaum and Bruckstein [16] have designed an algorithm similar to ours for recognizing Sturmian factors. The characterization of Sturmian factors by triplets of integers has been already discovered in [17]. A lengthy proof of Mignosi's enumeration formula appears in [15]. Very recently, de Luca and Mignori [6] have proposed a new proof based on purely combinatorial manipulation on words.

Some unexpected interrelation between Sturmian words, Pizza slicing, and the Riemann Hypothesis are described in [1] and have the honor of the cover of the American Mathematical Monthly which pushes one step further our Fig. 2.

## Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Dominique Gouyou-Beauchamps for helpful discussions, J. Shallit, P.A. Pleasants, and A. Bruckstein for helps in bibliography, and the anonymous referees for their helpful comments.

#### References

- E.A. Bender, O. Patashnik and J.H. Rumsey, Pizza slicing, Phis's and the Riemann Hypothesis, Amer. Math. Monthly 101 (1994) 307-317.
- [2] J. Berstel and M. Pocchiola, A geometric proof of the enumeration formula for Sturmian words, Internat. J. Algebra Comput. 3 (1993) 349–355. Also available as TR LIENS-92-21, or by anonymous ftp on the machine spi.ens.fr in the directory pub/reports/liens.
- [3] M. Boshernitzan and A. S. Fraenkel, A linear algorithm for nonhomogeneous spectra of numbers, J. Algorithms 5 (1984) 187–198.

- [4] J.E. Bresenham, Algorithm for computer control of a digital plotter, IBM Systems J. 4 (1965) 25-30.
- [5] T.C. Brown, A characterization of the quadratic irrationals, Canad. Math. Bull. 34 (1991) 36-41.
- [6] A. de Luca and F. Mignosi, Some combinatorial properties of Sturmian words, Theoret. Comput. Sci. 136 (1994) 361–385.
- [7] S. Dulucq and D. Gouyou-Beauchamps, Sur les facteurs des suites de sturm, Theoret. Comput. Sci. 71 (1991) 381-400.
- [8] P. Flajolet, Analytic models and ambiguity of context-free languages, Theoret. Comput. Sci. 49 (1987) 283-309.
- [9] A.S. Fraenkel, M. Mushkin and U. Tassa, Determination of [nθ] by its sequence of differences, Canad. Math. Bull. 21 (1978) 441-446.
- [10] G.H. Hardy and E.M. Wright, An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers (Oxford Science Publications, Oxford, 1979).
- [11] G. Hedlund, Sturmian minimal sets, Amer. J. Math. 66 (1944) 605-620.
- [12] G. Hedlund and M. Morse, Symbolic dynamics, Amer. J. Math. 60 (1938) 815-866.
- [13] G. Hedlund and M. Morse, Sturmian sequences, Amer. J. Math. 61 (1940) 1-42.
- [14] S. Ito and S. Yasutomi, On continued fractions, substitutions and characteristic sequences, Japan. J. Math. 16 (1990) 287–306.
- [15] J. Koplowitz, M. Lindenbaum and A. Bruckstein, The number of digital straight lines on an  $n \times n$  grid, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory 15 (1990) 949–953.
- [16] M. Lindenbaum and A. Bruckstein, On recursive, O(n) partitioning of a digitized curve into digital straight segments, IEEE Transactions on Pattern Anal. Machine Intelligence 15 (1993) 949–953.
- [17] M. Lindenbaum and J. Koplowitz, A new parametrization of digital straight lines, IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Machine Intelligence 13 (1991) 847–852.
- [18] W.F. Lunnon and P.A.B. Pleasants, Quasicrystallographic tilings, J. Math. Pure Appl. 66 (1987) 217-263.
- [19] Melter, Rosenfeld, and Bhattacharya, ed., Vision Geometry. Contemporary Mathematics, Vol. 19 (AMS, Providence, RI, 1991).
- [20] F. Mignosi, On the number of factors of Sturmian words, Theoret. Comput. Sci. 82 (1991) 71-84.
- [21] M. Queffélec, Substitution Dynamical Systems-Spectral Analysis, Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 1294 (Springer, Berlin, 1987).
- [22] G. Rauzy, Suites à termes dans un alphabet fini, in: Sémin. Théorie des Nombres, (Bordeaux, 1982-1983) 25-01-25-16.
- [23] G. Rauzy, Mots infinis en arithmétique, in: D. Perrin, ed., Automata on Infinite Words, Volume 192, pp. 165–171. Lecture Notes in Computer Science (Springer, Berlin, 1985).
- [24] G. Rauzy, Sequences defined by iterated morphisms, in: R. Capocelli, ed., Workshop on Sequences, Lecture Notes in Computer Science (Springer, Berlin, 1991).
- [25] A. Schrijver, Theory of Linear and Integer Programming (Wiley, New York 1986).
- [26] P. Séébold, Fibonacci morphisms and Sturmian words, Theoret. Comput. Sci. 88 (1991) 367-384.
- [27] C. Series, The geometry of Markoff numbers. The Mathematical Intelligencer 7 (1985) 20-29.
- [28] K.B. Stolarsky, Beatty sequences, continued fractions, and certain shift operators, Cand. Math. Bull. 19 (1976) 473–482.
- [29] B.A. Venkov, Elementary Number Theory (Wolters-Noordhoff, Groningen, 1970).