

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Advances in Applied Mathematics

www.elsevier.com/locate/yaama



Asymptotic normality and combinatorial aspects of the prefix exchange distance distribution



Simona Grusea^{a,*}, Anthony Labarre^b

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:
Received 12 February 2016
Received in revised form 7 April 2016
Accepted 7 April 2016
Available online 21 April 2016

MSC: 68R05 05A05 05A15 60F05

Keywords:
Star poset
Whitney numbers
Combinatorial proofs
Permutation
Distance
Prefix exchange
Distribution
Asymptotic normality

ABSTRACT

The prefix exchange distance of a permutation is the minimum number of exchanges involving the leftmost element that sorts the permutation. We give new combinatorial proofs of known results on the distribution of the prefix exchange distance for a random uniform permutation. We also obtain expressions for the mean and the variance of this distribution, and finally, we show that the normalised prefix exchange distribution converges in distribution to the standard normal distribution.

© 2016 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

E-mail addresses: grusea@insa-toulouse.fr (S. Grusea), Anthony.Labarre@u-pem.fr (A. Labarre).

a Institut de Mathématiques de Toulouse, INSA de Toulouse, Université de Toulouse, France

b Université Paris-Est, LIGM UMR CNRS 8049, Université Paris-Est Marne-la-Vallée, 5 boulevard Descartes, 77420 Champs-sur-Marne, France

^{*} Corresponding author.

1. Introduction

An ever-growing body of research has been devoted to the study of various measures of disorder on permutations, with the intention of expressing how many elementary operations (whose type may vary but which are fixed beforehand) they should undergo in order to become sorted. One of the earliest examples of such a measure is the $Cayley\ distance$, which corresponds to the minimum number of transpositions that must be applied to a permutation in order to obtain the identity permutation. This distance is easily expressed in terms of the number of cycles of the permutation [6], and the $signless\ Stirling\ numbers\ of\ the\ first\ kind\ can\ be\ used\ to\ characterise\ exactly\ the\ distribution\ of\ the\ Cayley\ distance\ — i.e., the number of\ permutations of <math>n$ elements with Cayley distance k. Motivations for studying these distances and their distributions outside pure mathematical fields include the study of sorting algorithms [10], genome comparison [11], and the design of interconnection networks [16].

We focus in this paper on the prefix exchange operation, a restricted kind of transposition that swaps any element of a permutation with its first element. This operation was introduced by Akers and Krishnamurthy [1], who also gave a formula for computing the associated prefix exchange distance, i.e., the minimum number of prefix exchanges required to transform a given permutation into the identity permutation. Portier and Vaughan [18] later succeeded in obtaining the generating function of the corresponding distribution, which they then used to derive an explicit formula (with subsequent corrections by Shen and Qiu [21]) as well as recurrence formulas for computing the so-called "Whitney numbers of the second kind for the star poset", i.e., the number of permutations of size n with prefix exchange distance k (see Portier [17] for a table with the first few terms).

We revisit in this paper the results obtained by Portier and Vaughan [18] by taking the opposite direction: we first obtain new proofs for their exact and recurrence formulas, and then use these formulas to recover their expression for the generating function. Our proofs are purely combinatorial, a desirable property since such proofs are often simpler in addition to providing new insight into the underlying objects [5,22].

We then proceed to obtaining the mean and the variance of the distribution, and finally, we examine the behaviour of this distribution when n tends to infinity: in particular, we show that the normalised prefix exchange distribution converges in distribution to the standard normal distribution. Our result enriches the family of combinatorial sequences which were previously shown to behave asymptotically normally, like the (signless) Stirling numbers of first and second kind, the Eulerian numbers, the adjacent transposition distance distribution and the related distribution of the number of inversions in a permutation — for precise definitions of these sequences and asymptotic normality results, as well as other examples, see [9,3,12].

2. Background and known results

We recall some basic notions and notation (see e.g. Bóna [5]) that will be useful throughout the text.

2.1. Permutations and cycles

For $n \geq 1$, we let \mathfrak{S}_n denote the *symmetric group*, i.e., the set of all permutations of $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$ together with the usual function composition operation \circ applied from right to left. We view permutations as sequences and denote them using lower case Greek letters, i.e., $\pi = \langle \pi_1 \ \pi_2 \ \cdots \ \pi_n \rangle$, where $\pi_i = \pi(i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. We will sometimes find it convenient to *reduce* permutations in the following sense.

Definition 2.1. [15] The reduced form of a permutation σ of a set $\{j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_r\}$ with $j_1 < j_2 < \cdots < j_r$ is the permutation $red(\sigma) \in \mathfrak{S}_r$ obtained by replacing j_i with i in σ for all i such that $1 \le i \le r$.

As is well-known, every permutation π decomposes in a single way into disjoint cycles (up to the ordering of cycles and of elements within each cycle). For instance, when $\pi = \langle 4 \ 1 \ 6 \ 2 \ 5 \ 7 \ 3 \rangle$, the disjoint cycle decomposition is $\pi = (1,4,2)(3,6,7)(5)$ (notice the parentheses and the commas). We use $c_1(\pi)$ to denote the number of cycles of length 1, or fixed points, of π , and $c_{\geq 2}(\pi)$ to denote the number of cycles of length at least 2 of π .

Let $dcd(\pi)$ denote the disjoint cycle decomposition of π . It will sometimes be convenient to abuse notation by writing, for some permutation $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n$, $\sigma = dcd(\pi) \cup (n+1)$, to express the fact that the disjoint cycle decomposition of π and σ differ only by the fixed point $\sigma_{n+1} = n+1$, which does not exist in π .

Recall that, for $0 \le k \le n$, the signless Stirling number of the first kind $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}$ counts the number of permutations of n elements with k cycles, with the convention that $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = 0$ for n > 1 and $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = 1$. These numbers are well-known to appear in the following series expansion of the ascending factorial:

$$x^{\overline{n}} = x(x+1)\cdots(x+n-1) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \begin{bmatrix} n\\k \end{bmatrix} x^k.$$
 (1)

The signed Stirling number of the first kind is $s(n,k) = (-1)^{n-k} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}$.

2.2. Prefix exchanges

For every $i=2,3,\ldots,n$, the prefix exchange (1,i) applied to a permutation π in \mathfrak{S}_n transforms π into $\pi \circ (1,i)$ by swapping elements π_1 and π_i . The prefix exchange distance of π , denoted by $pexc(\pi)$, is the minimum number of prefix exchanges needed to sort the permutation π , i.e., to transform it into the identity permutation $\iota = \langle 1 \ 2 \ \cdots \ n \rangle$. Akers et al. [2] proved the following formula for computing the prefix exchange distance:

Theorem 2.1. (See [2].) The prefix exchange distance of π in \mathfrak{S}_n is equal to

$$pexc(\pi) = n + c_{\geq 2}(\pi) - c_1(\pi) - \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \pi_1 = 1, \\ 2 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
 (2)

Akers et al. [2] referred to the Cayley graph of \mathfrak{S}_n generated by prefix exchanges as the "n-star graph". They proved that the diameter of that graph, or equivalently the largest value that the distance can reach, is |3(n-1)/2|.

Let $n \geq 1$ be fixed. For $k \geq 0$, we let $W_{n,k}$ denote the number of permutations in \mathfrak{S}_n which are at prefix exchange distance k from the identity permutation. These numbers are called in the literature "Whitney numbers of the second kind for the star poset" or "surface areas for the star graph". An explicit formula for these numbers was first given by Portier and Vaughan [18], and later corrected by Shen and Qiu [21]:

Theorem 2.2. (See [21].) The Whitney numbers of the second kind for the star poset are given as follows. Let $n \ge 1$ and k such that $0 \le k \le \lfloor 3(n-1)/2 \rfloor$ and denote, for $0 \le i \le \min(n-1,k+1)$:

$$T_i = \max\left\{0, \left\lceil \frac{k-2i}{2} \right\rceil\right\}, \ S_i = \min\left\{n-1-i, \left\lfloor \frac{k+1-i}{2} \right\rfloor\right\}.$$

With these notation, we have:

$$W_{n,k} = \sum_{i=0}^{\min(n-1,k+1)} \sum_{t=T}^{S_i} {n-1 \choose i} {n-1-i \choose t} s(i+1,k-i+1-2t)(-1)^{k+2-t}.$$

Using different approaches, Imani et al. [14] and Imani et al. [8] give alternative explicit formulas for $W_{n,k}$. The following recurrence relations are also known (see Portier and Vaughan [18] for the first one and Qiu and Akl [19] for the second and the third):

Theorem 2.3. The Whitney numbers of the second kind for the star poset obey the following recurrence relations: for $n \ge 1$ and $3 \le k \le |3(n-1)/2|$, we have:

$$W_{n,k} = W_{n-1,k} + (n-1)W_{n-1,k-1} - (n-2)W_{n-2,k-1} + (n-2)W_{n-2,k-3},$$
 (3)

$$W_{n,k} = (n-1)W_{n-1,k-1} + \sum_{j=1}^{n-2} jW_{j,k-3},$$
(4)

with $W_{n,0} = 1$, $W_{n,1} = n - 1$ and $W_{n,2} = (n - 1)(n - 2)$. We also have, for $n \ge 1$ and $0 \le k \le \left| \frac{3(n-1)}{2} \right|$:

$$W_{n+k+1,k} = \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} (-1)^{i+1} \binom{k+1}{i} W_{n+k+1-i,k}.$$
 (5)

3. Combinatorial derivation of the formula for $W_{n,k}$

The explicit formula for $W_{n,k}$ given in Theorem 2.2 was obtained by Portier and Vaughan [18] (notwithstanding some errors later corrected by Shen and Qiu [21]) using a generating function technique: they first derived the generating function of these numbers and then used it to deduce a formula for $W_{n,k}$. We give here a direct combinatorial derivation of the formula in Theorem 2.2 based on derangements, i.e., permutations without any fixed point. We proceed in two steps, by first computing the number $W_{n,k}^{(1)}$ of permutations at distance k that fix 1 and then the number $W_{n,k}^{(2)}$ of permutations at distance k that do not fix 1. We will need the following preliminary result, which counts the number d(n,k) of derangements in \mathfrak{S}_n with k cycles.

Lemma 3.1. (See [20].) For $1 \le k \le n$, we have

$$d(n,k) = \sum_{j=0}^{k} (-1)^{j} \binom{n}{j} \begin{bmatrix} n-j\\k-j \end{bmatrix},$$

with the convention d(n,0) = 0.

The following well-known relation (see e.g. Graham et al. [13, p. 167]) will also be useful:

$$\binom{r}{m}\binom{m}{p} = \binom{r}{p}\binom{r-p}{m-p} \tag{6}$$

for any $m, p, r \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proposition 3.1. The number of permutations π in \mathfrak{S}_n with $pexc(\pi) = k$ and $\pi_1 = 1$ is

$$W_{n,k}^{(1)} = \sum_{\ell=\max(n-k-1,0)}^{\lfloor (2n-k-2)/2 \rfloor} \sum_{j=0}^{k-n+\ell+1} {n-1 \choose \ell+j} {\ell+j \choose j} (-1)^j \begin{bmatrix} n-\ell-j-1 \\ k-n+\ell-j+1 \end{bmatrix}.$$
(7)

Proof. We sum over all possible values i for the number of fixed points of π . For a permutation π with $pexc(\pi) = k$ and $c_1(\pi) = i$, Equation (2) implies that $c_{\geq 2}(\pi) = k - n + i$. From the conditions $k - n + i \geq 0$ and $n - i \geq k - n + i$ we easily obtain the following bounds for i: $\max(n - k, 1) \leq i \leq \lfloor (2n - k)/2 \rfloor$.

Since $\pi_1 = 1$, there are $\binom{n-1}{i-1}$ choices for the other i-1 fixed points. The remaining n-i elements must form k-n+i cycles of length at least 2, and there are exactly d(n-i,k-n+i) ways to do this. We obtain

$$W_{n,k}^{(1)} = \sum_{i=\max(n-k,1)}^{\lfloor (2n-k)/2 \rfloor} {n-1 \choose i-1} d(n-i,k-n+i)$$

$$=\sum_{i=\max(n-k,1)}^{\lfloor (2n-k)/2\rfloor} \binom{n-1}{i-1} \sum_{j=0}^{k-n+i} (-1)^j \binom{n-i}{j} \begin{bmatrix} n-i-j\\ k-n+i-j \end{bmatrix}$$
 (using Lemma 3.1).

Setting $\ell = i - 1$, we have

$$W_{n,k}^{(1)} = \sum_{\ell = \max(n-k-1,0)}^{\lfloor (2n-k-2)/2 \rfloor} \binom{n-1}{\ell} \sum_{j=0}^{k-n+\ell+1} (-1)^j \binom{n-\ell-1}{j} \begin{bmatrix} n-\ell-j-1 \\ k-n+\ell-j+1 \end{bmatrix},$$

and using Equation (6) with r = n - 1, $m = \ell + j$ and p = j allows us to complete the proof. \Box

Proposition 3.2. The number of permutations π in \mathfrak{S}_n with $pexc(\pi) = k$ and $\pi_1 \neq 1$ is

$$\begin{split} W_{n,k}^{(2)} &= \sum_{i=\max(n-k-2,0)}^{\lfloor (2n-k-2)/2 \rfloor} \sum_{j=0}^{k-n+i+2} \binom{n-1}{i+j} \binom{i+j}{j} (-1)^j \begin{bmatrix} n-i-j \\ k-n+i+2-j \end{bmatrix} \\ &+ \sum_{i=\max(n-k-1,0)}^{\lfloor (2n-k-2)/2 \rfloor} \sum_{j=1}^{k-n+i+2} \binom{n-1}{i+j-1} \binom{i+j-1}{j-1} (-1)^j \begin{bmatrix} n-i-j \\ k-n+i+2-j \end{bmatrix}. \end{split}$$

Proof. As in the proof of Proposition 3.1, we sum over all possible values i for the number of fixed points of π . In this case, if a permutation π has i fixed points and is at distance k, then Equation (2) implies that $c_{\geq 2}(\pi) = k - n + i + 2$. From the two conditions: $k - n + i + 2 \geq 0$ and $n - i \geq k - n + i + 2$, we derive the following bounds for i: $\max(n - k - 2, 0) \leq i \leq \lfloor (2n - k - 2)/2 \rfloor$.

Since $\pi_1 \neq 1$, we have $\binom{n-1}{i}$ choices for the *i* fixed points. Furthermore, the remaining n-i elements must form k-n+i+2 cycles of length at least 2. We obtain:

$$\begin{split} W_{n,k}^{(2)} &= \sum_{i=\max(n-k-2,0)}^{\lfloor (2n-k-2)/2 \rfloor} \binom{n-1}{i} d(n-i,k-n+i+2) \\ &= \sum_{i=\max(n-k-2,0)}^{\lfloor (2n-k-2)/2 \rfloor} \binom{n-1}{i} \sum_{j=0}^{k-n+i+2} (-1)^j \binom{n-i}{j} \begin{bmatrix} n-i-j \\ k-n+i+2-j \end{bmatrix} \\ &\text{(using Lemma 3.1)}. \end{split}$$

One can easily check that the following relations hold:

$$\binom{n-1}{i} \binom{n-i}{j} = \binom{n-1}{i+j} \binom{i+j}{j} \frac{n-i}{n-i-j} \quad \text{(using Equation (6))}$$
$$= \binom{n-1}{i+j} \binom{i+j}{j} \left(1 + \frac{j}{n-i-j}\right)$$

$$= \binom{n-1}{i+j} \binom{i+j}{j} + \binom{n-1}{i+j-1} \binom{i+j-1}{j-1},$$

where for the last line we have used the fact that

$$\binom{n-1}{i+j} \binom{i+j}{j} \frac{j}{n-i-j} = \binom{n-1}{i+j-1} \binom{i+j-1}{j-1}.$$

This allows us to obtain the formula in the statement, and the proof is complete.

Propositions 3.1 and 3.2 allow us to recover the expression in Theorem 2.2 as follows. First, decompose the expression in Proposition 3.2 into S_1 and S_2 :

$$W_{n,k}^{(2)} = \underbrace{\sum_{i=\max(n-k-2)/2\rfloor}^{\lfloor (2n-k-2)/2\rfloor} \sum_{j=0}^{k-n+i+2} \binom{n-1}{i+j} \binom{i+j}{j} (-1)^j \begin{bmatrix} n-i-j \\ k-n+i+2-j \end{bmatrix}}_{\substack{i=\max(n-k-2)/2\rfloor}} + \underbrace{\sum_{i=\max(n-k-1,0)}^{\lfloor (2n-k-2)/2\rfloor} \sum_{j=1}^{k-n+i+2} \binom{n-1}{i+j-1} \binom{i+j-1}{j-1} (-1)^j \begin{bmatrix} n-i-j \\ k-n+i+2-j \end{bmatrix}}_{S_2},$$

and set u = j - 1 in S_2 to obtain

$$S_2 = -\sum_{i=\max(n-k-1,0)}^{\lfloor (2n-k-2)/2\rfloor} \sum_{u=0}^{k-n+i+1} \binom{n-1}{i+u} \binom{i+u}{u} (-1)^u \begin{bmatrix} n-i-u-1\\k-n+i-u+1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Using Equation (7), we note that $S_2 = -W_{n,k}^{(1)}$, so $W_{n,k} = W_{n,k}^{(1)} + W_{n,k}^{(2)} = S_1$. If we then set $\ell = n - i - j - 1$ in S_1 , we obtain

$$W_{n,k} = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\min(n-1,k+1)\min(n-1-\ell,\lfloor(k+1-\ell)/2\rfloor)} \binom{n-1}{\ell} \binom{n-1-\ell}{j} (-1)^j \begin{bmatrix} \ell+1 \\ k-\ell-2j+1 \end{bmatrix},$$

and the fact that $s(n,k) = (-1)^{n-k} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}$ yields the formula in Theorem 2.2.

4. Combinatorial proof of the recurrence relations

We now turn to the recurrence relations in Theorem 2.3. We will find it convenient to introduce the following additional notation:

$$\mathfrak{S}_{n,k} = \{ \pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n \mid pexc(\pi) = k \} \text{ (so } W_{n,k} = |\mathfrak{S}_{n,k}|); \text{ and }$$

$$\mathfrak{S}_{n,k,i} = \{ \pi \in \mathfrak{S}_{n,k} \mid \pi_i = i \}.$$

4.1. Proof of Equation (3)

Portier and Vaughan [18] prove the recurrence relation in Equation (3) using a generating function technique. We give here a direct combinatorial proof, again distinguishing between permutations that fix the first element and those that do not.

Proof. Let $n \geq 1$ and k such that $3 \leq k \leq |3(n-1)/2|$ be fixed.

1. **permutations** π in $\mathfrak{S}_{n,k}$ with $\pi_1 \neq 1$: we compute $W_{n,k}^{(2)}$ by summing over all permutations π which are at distance k and verify $\pi_1 = i$ for a given $i \in \{2, 3, \ldots, n\}$. For a given i verifying $2 \leq i \leq n$, we introduce the following mappings:

$$\phi_i : \{ \pi \in \mathfrak{S}_{n,k} \mid \pi_1 = i \} \to \mathfrak{S}_{n,k-1,i} : \qquad \pi \mapsto \sigma = \pi \circ (1,i),$$

$$\psi_i : \mathfrak{S}_{n,k-1,i} \to \mathfrak{S}_{n-1,k-1} : \qquad \sigma \mapsto \tau = red(dcd(\sigma) \setminus (i)).$$

Both mappings are bijective and allow us to associate any element $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_{n,k}$ with $\pi_1 = i$ to an element $\tau = \psi_i(\phi_i(\pi)) \in \mathfrak{S}_{n-1,k-1}$. Therefore,

$$|\{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_{n,k} \mid \pi_1 = i\}| = W_{n-1,k-1}. \tag{8}$$

Since this holds for every i such that $2 \le i \le n$, we obtain

$$W_{n,k}^{(2)} = |\{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_{n,k} \mid \pi_1 \neq 1\}| = (n-1)W_{n-1,k-1},\tag{9}$$

which in turn yields

$$W_{n,k} = W_{n,k}^{(1)} + W_{n,k}^{(2)} = W_{n,k}^{(1)} + (n-1)W_{n-1,k-1}.$$
 (10)

2. **permutations** π in $\mathfrak{S}_{n,k}$ with $\pi_1 = 1$: in order to compute $W_{n,k}^{(1)}$, we further distinguish permutations in $\mathfrak{S}_{n,k,1}$ based on the value of their last element. More precisely, for $i = 2, 3, \ldots, n$, let $W_{n,k}^{(1,i)}$ denote the number of permutations π in $\mathfrak{S}_{n,k,1}$ with $\pi_n = i$. We have

$$W_{n,k}^{(1)} = W_{n,k}^{(1,n)} + \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} W_{n,k}^{(1,i)}.$$

We first note that $W_{n,k}^{(1,n)} = W_{n-1,k}^{(1)}$, since any permutation $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_{n,k,1}$ with $\pi_n = n$ can be bijectively mapped onto a permutation $\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_{n-1,k,1}$ by deleting $\pi_n = n$. For $i \in \{2, 3, \ldots, n-1\}$, we will next compute $W_{n,k}^{(1,i)}$. Let $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_{n,k,1}$ be a permutation with $\pi_n = i$. Then deleting $\pi_1 = 1$ and renaming element n into 1 maps π bijectively onto a permutation $\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_{n-1}$ with $\tau_1 = i$ and having the same cycle structure as π except for the deleted singleton (1). Using Equation (2), we can easily see that

 $pexc(\tau) = k-2$, so $\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_{n-1,k-2}$ and Equation (8) implies that the number of such permutations τ equals $W_{n-2,k-3}$. Therefore, the number of permutations π in $\mathfrak{S}_{n,k,1}$ with $\pi_n = i$ is $(n-2)W_{n-2,k-3}$.

From the above discussion, we deduce

$$W_{n,k}^{(1)} = W_{n-1,k}^{(1)} + (n-2)W_{n-2,k-3}. (11)$$

Using Equation (10) for $W_{n-1,k}^{(1)}$ we further obtain

$$W_{n,k}^{(1)} = W_{n-1,k} - (n-2)W_{n-2,k-1} + (n-2)W_{n-2,k-3},$$

from which we finally recover Equation (3) by replacing the left-hand side using again Equation (10). \Box

4.2. Proof of Equation (4)

Proof. Let again $n \ge 1$ and k such that $3 \le k \le \left\lfloor \frac{3(n-1)}{2} \right\rfloor$ be fixed. With the same notation as in the previous subsection, and using Equation (10), we see that it suffices to prove

$$W_{n,k}^{(1)} = \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} iW_{i,k-3}.$$
 (12)

For $1 \leq i \leq n-2$, let \mathcal{F}_i denote the set of permutations $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_{n,k,1}$ with $i+2 = \operatorname{argmax}_{1 \leq j \leq n} \{ \pi_j \neq j \}$. We thus have $\pi_{i+2} \neq i+2$ and π fixes all elements from i+3 to n. Note that $\max\{j=1,2,\ldots,n:\pi_j \neq j\} \notin \{1,2\}$ since we assume $k \geq 3$. Therefore,

$$W_{n,k}^{(1)} = \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} |\mathcal{F}_i|. \tag{13}$$

To any permutation $\pi \in \mathcal{F}_i$, we can bijectively associate a permutation $\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_{i+1}$ obtained from π by deleting singletons $(1), (i+3), (i+4), \ldots, (n)$ and renaming element i+2 into 1. The resulting permutation τ verifies $\tau_1 \neq 1$, and $pexc(\tau) = k-2$ by Equation (2). Using this bijection and Equation (9), we obtain

$$|\mathcal{F}_i| = W_{i+1,k-2} - W_{i+1,k-2}^{(1)} = iW_{i,k-3},$$

and Equation (13) allows us to complete the proof. \Box

We note that Qiu and Akl [19] gave an alternative combinatorial proof for Equation (11) and then derived Equation (12) by recurrence.

4.3. Proof of Equation (5)

We give here a combinatorial proof for Equation (5), which was proved by Qiu and Akl [19] by induction, in a direct computational manner.

Proof. For every i such that $1 \leq i \leq k+1$, we let $B_i = \mathfrak{S}_{n+k+1,k,n+i}$. We first prove that

$$W_{n+k+1,k} = |B_1 \cup B_2 \cup \dots \cup B_{k+1}|. \tag{14}$$

To achieve this, we show that any permutation $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_{n+k+1,k}$ fixes at least one element among $n+1, n+2, \ldots, n+k+1$, and therefore $\pi \in \bigcup_{i=1}^{k+1} B_i$, which will imply Equation (14).

- If $\pi_1 = 1$, from Equation (2) we deduce $c_1(\pi) = n + 1 + c_{\geq 2}(\pi) \geq n + 1$. Therefore, at least one element among $n + 1, n + 2, \ldots, n + k + 1$ must be a singleton.
- If $\pi_1 \neq 1$, then Equation (2) implies $c_1(\pi) = n + c_{\geq 2}(\pi) 1 \geq n$. Since 1 is not a singleton, there must also be at least one singleton among the elements $n + 1, n + 2, \ldots, n + k + 1$.

Since the roles of the elements $n+1, \ldots, n+k+1$ are perfectly interchangeable, we have $|B_{j_1} \cap B_{j_2} \cap \cdots \cap B_{j_i}| = |B_1 \cap B_2 \cap \cdots \cap B_i|$, for every j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_i such that $1 \leq j_1 < j_2 < \cdots < j_i \leq n$ and every i such that $1 \leq i \leq k+1$. From Equation (14) and the inclusion–exclusion rule, we deduce

$$W_{n+k+1,k} = \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} (-1)^{i+1} \binom{k+1}{i} |B_1 \cap B_2 \cap \dots \cap B_i|.$$

In order to prove Equation (5), it must be noted that for every i such that $1 \le i \le k+1$:

$$|B_1 \cap B_2 \cap \dots \cap B_i| = W_{n+k+1-i,k}. \tag{15}$$

Indeed, for every i such that $1 \le i \le k+1$, we can define the following bijection,

$$\xi_i: B_1 \cap B_2 \cap \dots \cap B_i \to \mathfrak{S}_{n+k+1-i,k}$$
$$: \pi \mapsto \tau = red(dcd(\pi) \setminus \{(n+1), \dots, (n+i)\}),$$

which proves Equation (15). \square

5. Generating function, mean and variance of the distance distribution

We obtain in this section expressions for the mean μ_n and the variance σ_n^2 of the prefix exchange distance distribution. More precisely, for a uniform random permutation π in \mathfrak{S}_n , we have $\mathbb{P}(pexc(\pi) = k) = W_{n,k}/n!$ and

$$\mu_n = \mathbb{E}(pexc(\pi)) = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} kW_{n,k},$$

$$\sigma_n^2 = \operatorname{Var}(pexc(\pi)) = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k^2 W_{n,k} - \mu_n^2.$$

We start by computing the ordinary generating function

$$W_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} W_{n,k} x^k.$$

As is well-known (see e.g. Wilf [24]), the mean and the variance can be obtained by derivating $W_n(x)$:

$$\mu_n = \frac{W_n'(1)}{n!};\tag{16}$$

$$\sigma_n^2 = \frac{W_n''(1)}{n!} + \mu_n - \mu_n^2 = \frac{W_n''(1)}{n!} - \mu_n(\mu_n - 1). \tag{17}$$

5.1. The generating function

We give here an alternative proof of a formula known to Portier and Vaughan [18] for computing the ordinary generating function $W_n(x)$ of the sequence $(W_{n,k})_{k\geq 0}$. Our proof uses Theorem 2.2 as a starting point, whereas Portier and Vaughan [18] (with subsequent corrections by Shen and Qiu [21]) first computed the generating function, then used it to derive the expression in Theorem 2.2.

Theorem 5.1. The ordinary generating function for the prefix exchange distance distribution is given, for every $x \in \mathbb{C}$, by the following formula:

$$W_n(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} {n-1 \choose i} (1-x^2)^{n-1-i} x^i \prod_{j=1}^i (x+j).$$
 (18)

Proof. Let $n \geq 1$ be fixed. Interchanging the order of summation in the formula of Theorem 2.2 yields

$$W_n(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} {n-1 \choose i} \sum_{t=0}^{n-1-i} {n-1-i \choose t} \sum_{k=2t+i-1}^{2t+2i} s(i+1,k-i+1-2t)(-1)^{k+2-t} x^k,$$

with the convention s(1,-1)=0. Given i and t such that $0 \le i \le n-1$ and $0 \le t \le n-i-1$, the bounds on k come from the conditions $\lceil \frac{k-2i}{2} \rceil \le t \le \lfloor \frac{k+1-i}{2} \rfloor$ appearing in Theorem 2.2. Setting j=k-2t-i+1, we obtain

$$W_{n}(x)$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{i} \sum_{t=0}^{n-1-i} \binom{n-1-i}{t} (-1)^{t} x^{2t+i-1} \sum_{j=0}^{i+1} s(i+1,j) (-1)^{i+1+j} x^{j}$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{i} \sum_{t=0}^{n-1-i} \binom{n-1-i}{t} (-1)^{t} x^{2t+i-1} \sum_{j=0}^{i+1} \begin{bmatrix} i+1 \\ j \end{bmatrix} x^{j}$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{i} \sum_{t=0}^{n-1-i} \binom{n-1-i}{t} (-1)^{t} x^{2t+i-1} x^{\overline{i+1}} \qquad \text{(using Equation (1))}$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{i} x^{i-1} x^{\overline{i+1}} \sum_{t=0}^{n-1-i} \binom{n-1-i}{t} (-1)^{t} x^{2t}.$$

The expression in the statement then follows from Newton's binomial formula, with the convention that $\prod_{i=1}^{0} (x+j) = 1$.

5.2. Mean and variance of the distance distribution

Let π be a uniform random permutation in \mathfrak{S}_n . We will derive expressions for its mean μ_n and variance σ_n^2 which will involve the *n*-th harmonic number $H_n = \sum_{k=1}^n 1/k$. Let $n \geq 3$. Using Equation (18), we can write:

$$W_n(x) = \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} [x(x+j)] + (n-1)(1-x^2) \prod_{j=1}^{n-2} [x(x+j)] + g(x) + (1-x^2)^3 h(x), \quad (19)$$

where h(x) is some polynomial function and

$$g(x) = \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{2}(1-x^2)^2 \prod_{j=1}^{n-3} [x(x+j)].$$

5.2.1. Computation of the mean

We now derive an expression for the expected prefix exchange distance. We note that the value of μ_n can be obtained as a particular case of Theorem 6.1, p. 203 of Cheng et al. [7] by setting k=n-1 in the formula they derive. We give here a direct proof of that expression, which provides elements that will prove useful in obtaining the variance of the prefix exchange distance.

Theorem 5.2. Let $n \geq 1$. The expected value μ_n of the prefix exchange distance for a uniform random permutation in \mathfrak{S}_n equals

$$\mu_n = n + H_n - 4 + \frac{2}{n}. (20)$$

Proof. We evaluate the derivative of $W_n(x)$ at x = 1 using the simplified expression in Equation (19). For $n \geq 3$, we have

$$W'_n(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{2x+i}{x(x+i)} \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} [x(x+j)] + (n-1) \left(-2x + (1-x^2) \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} \frac{2x+i}{x(x+i)} \right)$$
$$\times \prod_{j=1}^{n-2} [x(x+j)] + g'(x) + [(1-x^2)^3 h(x)]'.$$

When x = 1, both g'(x) and $[(1 - x^2)^3 h(x)]'$ vanish, and we obtain:

$$W'_n(1) = n! \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{i+2}{i+1} - 2(n-1)(n-1)!$$

$$= n! \left(n - 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{i+1} \right) - 2(n-1)(n-1)!$$

$$= n!(n+H_n-2) - 2(n-1)(n-1)!.$$

Using Equation (16), we deduce

$$\mu_n = n + H_n - 2 - 2\left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right) = n + H_n - 4 + \frac{2}{n}.$$

Note that this expression remains valid for n=1 and n=2; the above assumption $n \geq 3$ was forced on us by Equation (19). \square

5.2.2. Computation of the variance

We will prove the following:

Theorem 5.3. Let $n \geq 2$. The variance σ_n^2 of the prefix exchange distance for a uniform random permutation in \mathfrak{S}_n equals

$$\sigma_n^2 = H_n + \frac{4}{n} - \frac{8}{n^2} - \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{j^2}.$$
 (21)

Proof. We evaluate the second derivative of $W_n(x)$ at x = 1. We first note that we can rewrite the previous expression for $W'_n(x)$ as

$$W'_n(x) = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{2x+i}{x(x+i)} - \frac{2(n-1)}{x+n-1} \right\} \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} [x(x+j)]$$

$$+ (n-1)(1-x^2) \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} \frac{2x+i}{x(x+i)} \prod_{j=1}^{n-2} [x(x+j)] + g'(x) + [(1-x^2)^3 h(x)]'.$$

Using the fact that

$$\frac{2x+i}{x(x+i)} = \frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{x+i}$$

and derivating a second time, we obtain

$$W_n''(x) = \left\{ -\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left(\frac{1}{x^2} + \frac{1}{(x+i)^2} \right) + \frac{2(n-1)}{(x+n-1)^2} \right\} \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} [x(x+j)]$$

$$+ \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left(\frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{x+i} \right) - \frac{2(n-1)}{x+n-1} \right\} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \left(\frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{x+k} \right) \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} [x(x+j)]$$

$$-2(n-1)x \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} \left(\frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{x+i} \right) \prod_{j=1}^{n-2} [x(x+j)] + (1-x^2)u(x)$$

$$+ g''(x) + [(1-x^2)^3 h(x)]'',$$

where u(x) is some polynomial function. Since $[(1-x^2)^3h(x)]''$ vanishes when x=1, we have

$$W_n''(1) = \left\{ -\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left(1 + \frac{1}{(1+i)^2} \right) + \frac{2(n-1)}{n^2} \right\} \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} (1+j)$$

$$+ \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left(1 + \frac{1}{1+i} \right) - \frac{2(n-1)}{n} \right\} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \left(1 + \frac{1}{1+k} \right) \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} (1+j)$$

$$-2(n-1) \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{1+i} \right) \prod_{j=1}^{n-2} (1+j).$$

Replacing $\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} (1+j)$ with n! and $\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left(1 + \frac{1}{1+i}\right)$ with $n+H_n-2$ yields

$$W_n''(1) = n! \left\{ -n + 2 + \frac{2}{n} - \frac{2}{n^2} - \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{j^2} + \left(n + H_n - 4 + \frac{2}{n} \right) (n + H_n - 2) \right\}$$
$$-2(n-1)(n-1)! \left(n + H_n - 3 - \frac{1}{n} \right) + g''(1).$$

We must now compute g''(1). We have, with f(x) being some polynomial function:

$$g'(x) = 2(n-1)(n-2) \left\{ -(1-x^2)x^{n-2} \prod_{j=1}^{n-3} (x+j) + (1-x^2)^2 f(x) \right\}.$$

When derivating a second time and taking x = 1 we obtain

$$g''(1) = 4(n-2)(n-1)!.$$

Injecting this expression in the previous formula for $W''_n(1)$ and dividing by n! gives

$$\frac{W_n''(1)}{n!} = -n + 2 + \frac{2}{n} - \frac{2}{n^2} - \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{j^2} + \left(n + H_n - 4 + \frac{2}{n}\right) (n + H_n - 2)$$
$$-2\left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right) \left(n + H_n - 3 - \frac{1}{n}\right) + 4 - \frac{8}{n}.$$

Using Equation (17), we obtain that the variance of the distance distribution equals

$$\sigma_n^2 = -n + 6 - \frac{6}{n} - \frac{2}{n^2} - \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{j^2} + \left(n + H_n - 4 + \frac{2}{n}\right) (n + H_n - 2)$$

$$- 2\left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right) \left(n + H_n - 3 - \frac{1}{n}\right) - \left(n + H_n - 4 + \frac{2}{n}\right) \left(n + H_n - 5 + \frac{2}{n}\right)$$

$$= -n + 6 - \frac{6}{n} - \frac{2}{n^2} - \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{j^2} + \left(n + H_n - 4 + \frac{2}{n}\right) \left(3 - \frac{2}{n}\right)$$

$$- 2\left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right) \left(n + H_n - 3 - \frac{1}{n}\right),$$

which finally gives the formula in Equation (21). \square

6. Asymptotic behaviour of the distance distribution

We now study asymptotic properties of the prefix exchange distance distribution, namely, the value of its mean and its variance as well as its convergence as $n \to \infty$.

Proposition 6.1. We have the following asymptotics for the mean and the variance of the prefix exchange distribution when n is large:

$$\mu_n = n + \log n + \gamma - 4 + o(1);$$

 $\sigma_n^2 = \log n + \gamma - \frac{\pi^2}{6} + o(1),$

where $\gamma \approx 0.577$ is the Euler–Mascheroni constant and o(1) denotes a sequence converging to 0 as $n \to \infty$.

Proof. Immediate from Equations (20) and (21), using the well-known results (see, e.g., Graham et al. [13]):

$$H_n - \log n \longrightarrow \gamma \text{ when } n \to \infty$$

and

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} = \frac{\pi^2}{6}. \quad \Box$$

We further show that, for large n, the distribution of the prefix exchange distance for a uniform random permutation $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ is approximately normal, with mean μ_n and variance σ_n^2 . More precisely, we prove the following:

Theorem 6.1. The normalised prefix exchange distance for a uniform random permutation $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n$, i.e.,

$$D_n = \frac{pexc(\pi) - \mu_n}{\sigma_n}$$

converges in distribution, when $n \to \infty$, to the standard normal distribution $\mathcal{N}(0,1)$, which means that

$$\mathbb{P}(a < D_n < b) \longrightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_a^b e^{-x^2/2} dx$$

when $n \to \infty$, for every real numbers a < b.

Remark 6.1. Using the asymptotics for μ_n and σ_n^2 derived in Proposition 6.1, the above convergence is equivalent to the following convergence in distribution

$$\frac{pexc(\pi) - n - \log n}{\sqrt{\log n}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{N}(0, 1) \text{ when } n \to \infty,$$

which means that the distribution of the prefix exchange distance for a uniform random permutation $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ is asymptotically normal, with mean $n + \log n$ and variance $\log n$.

Proof of Theorem 6.1. We will show that the sequence of characteristic functions of the random variables $(D_n)_{n\geq 1}$ converges pointwise, when $n\to\infty$, to the characteristic function of the standard normal distribution, given by $\varphi(t)=e^{-t^2/2}$. Lévy's convergence

theorem (see e.g. Billingsley [4]) will then imply that the sequence $(D_n)_{n\geq 1}$ converges in distribution to the standard normal distribution $\mathcal{N}(0,1)$.

Let φ_n denote the characteristic function of the random variable D_n , defined for $t \in \mathbb{R}$ by $\varphi_n(t) = \mathbb{E}(e^{itD_n})$. We have

$$\varphi_n(t) = e^{-\frac{it\mu_n}{\sigma_n}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e^{\frac{itk}{\sigma_n}} \mathbb{P}(pexc(\pi) = k).$$

Since π in chosen uniformly at random in \mathfrak{S}_n , we have

$$\mathbb{P}(pexc(\pi) = k) = \frac{W_{n,k}}{n!},$$

which yields

$$\varphi_n(t) = e^{-\frac{it\mu_n}{\sigma_n}} \frac{W_n(e^{\frac{it}{\sigma_n}})}{n!},\tag{22}$$

where $W_n(\cdot)$ is the generating function obtained in Equation (18). For every $x \in \mathbb{C}$, Equation (18) reads

$$W_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{(n-1)!}{(n-k-1)!k!} (1-x^2)^{n-1-k} x^k \prod_{j=1}^k (x+j).$$
 (23)

Equations (22) and (23) then yield, for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$:

$$\varphi_n(t) = e^{-\frac{it\mu_n}{\sigma_n}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} e^{\frac{itk}{\sigma_n}} \frac{(1 - e^{\frac{2it}{\sigma_n}})^{n-k-1}}{(n-k-1)!} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^k (e^{\frac{it}{\sigma_n}} + j)}{k!}.$$

We will show that the dominant term is obtained for k = n - 1 and converges to $e^{-t^2/2}$ when $n \to \infty$, while all other terms vanish at the limit. To that end, let us isolate in $\varphi_n(t)$ the term obtained for k = n - 1 (which we denote A_n) and let R_n denote the sum of all other terms; we obtain:

$$\varphi_n(t) = A_n + R_n,\tag{24}$$

with

$$A_n = \exp\left(-\frac{it(\mu_n - n + 1)}{\sigma_n}\right) \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} (e^{\frac{it}{\sigma_n}} + j)}{n!}$$
(25)

and

$$|R_n| \le \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \frac{|1 - e^{\frac{2it}{\sigma_n}}|^{n-k-1}}{(n-k-1)!} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^k (1+j)}{k!} = \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \frac{k+1}{n} \frac{|1 - e^{\frac{2it}{\sigma_n}}|^{n-k-1}}{(n-k-1)!},$$

using the fact that $|e^{ix}| = 1$, for $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

Let us first show that R_n converges to 0 when $n \to \infty$. Setting j = n - k - 1 in the above inequality, we obtain:

$$|R_n| \le \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{|1 - e^{\frac{2it}{\sigma_n}}|^j}{j!} \le \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{|1 - e^{\frac{2it}{\sigma_n}}|^j}{j!} = \exp(|1 - e^{\frac{2it}{\sigma_n}}|) - 1,$$

using the MacLaurin series, and therefore $R_n \longrightarrow 0$ as $n \to \infty$.

To show that $\varphi_n(t) \longrightarrow e^{-t^2/2}$, in light of Equation (24), we must check that

$$A_n = \exp\left(-\frac{it(\mu_n - n + 1)}{\sigma_n}\right) \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} (e^{\frac{it}{\sigma_n}} + j)}{n!} \longrightarrow e^{-t^2/2}.$$

Note that the product $\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} (e^{\frac{it}{\sigma_n}} + j)$ can be written as a ratio of two Gamma functions. We recall that for $z \in \mathbb{C}$ with Re(z) > 0, the Gamma function is defined as

$$\Gamma(z) = \int_{0}^{\infty} x^{z-1} e^{-x} dx.$$

By integration by parts, it is easy to see that the Gamma function satisfies the recurrence relation $\Gamma(z+1)=z\Gamma(z)$, which implies, in particular, that for $n\in\mathbb{N}^*$ we have $\Gamma(n)=(n-1)!$.

The same recurrence relation allows us to write:

$$\prod_{j=1}^{n-1}(e^{\frac{it}{\sigma_n}}+j)=\frac{\Gamma(n+e^{\frac{it}{\sigma_n}})}{\Gamma(e^{\frac{it}{\sigma_n}})},$$

for *n* sufficiently large to have $\operatorname{Re}(e^{\frac{it}{\sigma_n}}) > 0$.

We further use the following asymptotic approximation (see e.g. Tricomi and Erdélyi [23]):

$$\frac{\Gamma(n + e^{ix})}{n!} = n^{e^{ix} - 1} (1 + o(1)),$$

for $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $n = 2, 3, \ldots$, to deduce

$$\frac{\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \left(e^{\frac{it}{\sigma_n}} + j\right)}{n!} = \frac{\Gamma(n + e^{\frac{it}{\sigma_n}})}{n!\Gamma(e^{\frac{it}{\sigma_n}})} = \frac{n^{e^{\frac{it}{\sigma_n}} - 1}}{\Gamma(e^{\frac{it}{\sigma_n}})}(1 + o(1)).$$

As a consequence, and based on the asymptotic approximation of μ_n from Proposition 6.1, we deduce from Equation (25) that for $n \to \infty$:

$$A_n = \exp\left(-\frac{it\log n}{\sigma_n}\right) \frac{n^{e^{\frac{it}{\sigma_n}} - 1}}{\Gamma(e^{\frac{it}{\sigma_n}})} (1 + o(1)). \tag{26}$$

We further write

$$n^{e^{\frac{it}{\sigma_n}}-1} = \exp\left(\log\left(n^{e^{\frac{it}{\sigma_n}}-1}\right)\right) = \exp\left((e^{\frac{it}{\sigma_n}}-1)\log n\right).$$

The second order series expansion of the exponential, together with the asymptotic approximation of σ_n^2 from Proposition 6.1 yield

$$e^{\frac{it}{\sigma_n}} - 1 = \frac{it}{\sigma_n} - \frac{t^2}{2\sigma_n^2} + o\left(\frac{1}{\log n}\right),\,$$

and

$$n^{e^{\frac{it}{\sigma_n}}-1} = \exp\left(\frac{it\log n}{\sigma_n} - \frac{t^2}{2}\right)(1 + o(1)).$$

Further replacing in Equation (26) implies

$$A_n = \exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{2}\right)(1 + o(1)).$$

We have also used the fact that, by continuity, the denominator $\Gamma(e^{\frac{it}{\sigma_n}})$ converges to $\Gamma(1) = 1$ as $n \to \infty$. Since $\varphi_n(t) = A_n + R_n$ and $R_n \longrightarrow 0$, it finally follows that $\varphi_n(t)$ converges to $e^{-t^2/2}$ as $n \to \infty$, which ends the proof. \square

Acknowledgments

The first author wishes to thank Frédéric Protin for very helpful discussions. We also wish to thank the Centre International de Rencontres Mathématiques (CIRM) in Marseille, who offered us the opportunity to work together during a "research in pairs" stay, where part of this work was performed.

References

- S.B. Akers, B. Krishnamurthy, A group-theoretic model for symmetric interconnection networks, IEEE Trans. Comput. 38 (1989) 555–566.
- [2] S.B. Akers, B. Krishnamurthy, D. Harel, The star graph: an attractive alternative to the n-cube, in: Proceedings of the Fourth International Conference on Parallel Processing, ICPP, Pennsylvania State University Press, 1987, pp. 393–400.

- [3] E. Bender, Central and local limit theorems applied to asymptotic enumeration, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 15 (1973) 91–111.
- [4] P. Billingsley, Convergence of Probability Measures, 2nd edition, Wiley Series in Probability and Statistics, 1999.
- [5] M. Bóna, Combinatorics of Permutations, 2nd edition, Discrete Mathematics and Its Applications, Chapman & Hall/CRC, 2012.
- [6] A. Cayley, Note on the theory of permutations, Philos. Mag. 34 (1849) 527–529.
- [7] E. Cheng, K. Qiu, Z. Shen, A generating function approach to the surface areas of some interconnection networks, J. Interconnect. Netw. 10 (2009) 189–204.
- [8] E. Cheng, K. Qiu, Z. Shen, A short note on the surface areas of star graphs, Parallel Process. Lett. 19 (2009) 19–22.
- [9] F. David, D. Barton, Combinatorial Chance, Lubrecht & Cramer Limited, 1962.
- [10] V. Estivill-Castro, D. Wood, A survey of adaptive sorting algorithms, ACM Comput. Surv. 24 (1992) 441–476.
- [11] G. Fertin, A. Labarre, I. Rusu, E. Tannier, S. Vialette, Combinatorics of Genome Rearrangements, Computational Molecular Biology, The MIT Press, 2009.
- [12] P. Flajolet, M. Soria, Gaussian limiting distributions for the number of components in combinatorial structures, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 53 (1990) 165–182.
- [13] R.L. Graham, D.E. Knuth, O. Patashnik, Concrete Mathematics, 2nd edition, Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, Reading, MA, 1994.
- [14] N. Imani, H. Sarbazi-Azad, S.G. Akl, Some topological properties of star graphs: the surface area and volume, Discrete Math. 1 (2009) 560–569.
- [15] S. Kitaev, Patterns in Permutations and Words, Monographs in Theoretical Computer Science. An EATCS Series, Springer, 2011.
- [16] S. Lakshmivarahan, J.-S. Jwo, S.K. Dhall, Symmetry in interconnection networks based on Cayley graphs of permutation groups: a survey, Parallel Comput. 19 (1993) 361–407.
- [17] F.J. Portier, Sequence A007799 in the on-line encyclopedia of integer sequences, Published electronically at: http://oeis.org, 2015.
- [18] F.J. Portier, T.P. Vaughan, Whitney numbers of the second kind for the star poset, European J. Combin. 11 (1990) 277–288.
- [19] K. Qiu, S.G. Akl, On some properties of the star graph, VLSI Des. 2 (1995) 389–396.
- [20] J. Riordan, An Introduction to Combinatorial Analysis, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 1958.
- [21] Z. Shen, K. Qiu, On the Whitney numbers of the second kind for the star poset, European J. Combin. 29 (2008) 1585–1586.
- [22] R.P. Stanley, Enumerative Combinatorics, 2nd edition, vol. 1, 2012.
- [23] F. Tricomi, A. Erdélyi, The asymptotic expansion of a ratio of gamma functions, Pacific J. Math. 1 (1951) 133–142.
- [24] H.S. Wilf, generating functionology, 3rd edition, A.K. Peters, Ltd., Natick, MA, USA, 2006.