

On A Family of Formal Power-Series

M. P. Schützenberger

1. Introduction

In [6] we considered three modules $R_{\text{pol}}(X) \subset R_{\text{rat}}(X) \subset R_{\text{alg}}(X)$ of formal power-series (with coefficients in a unital ring R) in the non-commuting variables $x \in X$. These formal power-series are related to polynomials and to Taylor series expansions of rational and algebraic functions.

We recall that the family \mathcal{R} of the so-called regular events consists of all subsets of a finitely generated free monoid $F(Z)$ that are a finite union of sets of the form $\phi^{-1}h (= \{g \in F(Z) : \phi g = h\})$ for some homomorphisms ϕ of $F(Z)$ onto a finite quotient monoid $\phi F(Z) = \{h\}$ ([2],[7]). It is trivial that:

(I.rat). The generating function $c_{F'} = \sum \{g : g \in F'\}$ of any $F' \in \mathcal{R}$ belongs to $R_{\text{rat}}(X)$.

(I'.rat). Any $a \in R_{\text{rat}}(X)$ can be represented in the form $a = \theta c_{F'} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum \{\theta g : g \in F', \text{deg } g < n\}$ for some suitable $F' \in \mathcal{R}$ and homomorphism $\theta : F(Z) \rightarrow R_{\text{pol}}(X)$.

However, if one replaces the condition that $\phi F(Z)$ is finite by the condition that $\phi F(Z)$ is abelian in the definition of \mathcal{R} , the generating function of $\phi^{-1}h$ does not necessarily belong to $R_{\text{alg}}(X)$ [5]. Then one may ask

what type of monoids give a family \mathcal{Q}' of subsets of $F(Z)$ having the properties (I.alg) and (I'.alg) derived from (I.rat) and (I'.rat) by substituting R_{alg} to R_{rat} . We shall show that a partial answer is given by the extensions of a free group by a finite monoid [4]. This provides alternative proofs of some theorems of [1] and [3].

This note is part of common research with N. Chomsky.

2. Preliminary definitions.

Let there be: 1) Three finite sets Z, S and X ; 2) a homomorphism ψ of $F(Z)$ onto a finite monoid K ; 3) Three mappings α', σ' and χ of (K, S, X) into $F(Z), S$ and the family of all subsets of K , respectively. For our present purpose there is no loss of generality in assuming that $\psi g \neq \psi 1$ if $g \neq 1$ and that every $g \in F(Z)$ has at least one right factor in each $\chi(k, s, x)$.

For any $\bar{g} = (g, s) \in \bar{G} = (F(Z), S)$ and $x \in X : \alpha(\bar{g}, x) = \alpha(g, s, x) = g'$, the word of highest degree such that $g \alpha'(\psi g, s, x) = g' g''$, with $g'' \in \chi(\psi g, s, x)$.
 $\sigma(\bar{g}, x) = \sigma(g, s, x) = \sigma'(\psi g, s, x)$, $\bar{g} \cdot x = (\alpha(\bar{g}, x), \sigma(\bar{g}, x)) \in \bar{G}$.

In the usual fashion we extend this mapping $(\bar{G}, X) \rightarrow \bar{G}$ to a representation of $F(X)$ (= the free monoid generated by X) by mappings of \bar{G} into itself. If $f \in F(X)$, $\bar{g} \in \bar{G}$ and $\bar{g}' = \bar{g} \cdot f$, we write $\bar{g}' = (\alpha(\bar{g}, f), \sigma(\bar{g}, f))$.

Let $f' < f$ denote that f' is a proper (i.e., $\neq f$) left factor of f .

For each 5-tuple $j = (j_i)$ with arbitrary $j_1, j_3 \in F(Z)$; $j_2, j_4 \in S$; $j_5 \in F(Z) \cup \{0\}$

we define:

$$C(j) = \{f \in F(X) : f \neq 1, (j_1, j_2) \cdot f = (j_3, j_4)\}, \text{ if } j_5 = 0;$$

$$= \{f \in F(X) : f \neq 1, (j_1, j_2) \cdot f = (j_3, j_4); j_5 < \alpha(j_1, j_2, f') \text{ for each } f',$$

$$1 < f' < f\}, \text{ if } j_5 \in F(Z).$$

2.1. The generating function $c(j)$ of any $C(j)$ with $j_5 = 0$ belongs to

$R_{\text{alg}}(X)$.

Proof. Let J be the set of all j 's which satisfy any one of the conditions

$j_3 \leq j_1 = j_5$, $j_1 < j_3 = j_5$, $j_3 \leq j_5 < j_1$, or $j_5 = 0$. Let $Y = \{y(j) : j \in J\}$

be a set of new variables. For each $j \in J$, $p(j) \in R_{\text{pol}}^*(X \cup Y)$ is defined

as follows:

If $j_3 \leq j_1 = j_5$ or $j_1 < j_3 = j_5$



$$p(j) = \sum \{x : x \in X \cap C(j)\} + \sum \{xy(\alpha(j_1, j_2, x), \sigma(j_1, j_2, x), j_3, j_4, j_5) :$$

$$x \in X, j_5 < \alpha(j_1, j_2, x)\}.$$

If $j_3 \leq j_5 < j_1$



$$p(j) = p(j_1, j_2, j_3, j_4, j_1) + \sum \{y(j_1, j_2, j_5g, s, j_5g) y(j_5g, s, j_3, j_4, j_5g') :$$

$$s \in S, g \in g'Z, j_5g \leq j_1\}.$$

$$y(q_1, q_3q, q_3q) y(q_3q, q_3, q_3q)$$

If $j_5 = 0$

$$p(j) = p(j_1, j_2, j_3, j_4, j_3) + \Sigma \{y(j_1, j_2, g, s, 0) y(g, s, j_3, j_4, j_3) : s \in S, g \leq j_3\}.$$

Clearly, each equation expresses a unique factorisation property of the words of $C(j)$ as products of elements of X and words from other sets $C(j')$.

Hence, each equation is an identity if $p(j) = y(j) = c(j)$ for all $j \in J$.

Let J_d denote the subset of all 5-tuples such that $\deg j_1, \deg j_2, \leq d$. If

$j \in J_d$ the right member of the equation which defines $p(j)$ contains only

variables $y(j')$ with $j' \in J_d$ or with j' of the form $j_3 \leq j_1 = j_5$. In this

last case $\deg j_3 \leq d$ and $\deg j_1 - \deg j_3 \leq \max \{\deg \alpha(\bar{g}, x) : \bar{g} \in \bar{G}, x \in X\}$.

Now let $\psi_2 g$ denote, for any $g \in F(Z)$, the subset $\{(\psi g', \psi g'') : g'g'' = g\}$

of (K, K) . If $\psi_2 g_1 = \psi_2 g_4, \psi_2 g_2 = \psi_2 g_5, j = (g_1 g_2 g_3, s, g_1, s', g_1 g_2), f \in C(j)$,

induction on $\deg f'$ shows that for each $f' < f, \alpha(g_1 g_2 g_3, s, f') = g_1 g_2 g',$

$\alpha(g_4 g_5 g_3, s, f') = g_4 g_5 g'$ with the same g' and $\sigma(g_1 g_2 g_3, s, f') = \sigma(g_4 g_5 g_3, s, f')$.

Thus, $C(j) = C(g_4 g_5 g_3, s, g_4, s', g_4 g_5)$. It follows that there exists a finite d^*

such that for any fixed $d \geq d^*$ and $j \in J_d$, each $y(j')$ with $j' \notin J_d$ in the

right member of $p(j)$ can be replaced by $y(j'')$ with $j'' \in J_d$ and $C(j') = C(j'')$.

Making this substitution the set $(p(j))_{j \in J_d}$ becomes a proper system in the

notation of [6] and 2.1. is verified.

3. Verification of (I.alg).

(I.alg). If $\bar{\gamma}$ is a homomorphism of $F(X)$ into an extension $\bar{G} = \{\bar{g}\}$ of a free group G by a finite monoid H , the generating function $c_{\bar{g}}$ of any $\bar{\gamma}^{-1} \bar{g}$ belongs to $R_{\text{alg}}(X)$.

Proof. Let G be generated by $\{z_i\}$ $1 \leq i \leq m$; $Z = \{z_{i'}\}$ $i' = \pm i$ and γ^* be the homomorphism of $F(Z)$ onto G such that $(\gamma^* z_i)^{-1} = \gamma^* z_{-i}$ for all $z_i \in Z$.

(i) Let us consider the special case of $\bar{G} = G$. Then $\bar{\gamma}$ is given by a homomorphism $\gamma : F(X) \rightarrow F(Z)$ and $\bar{\gamma}f = (\gamma^* \circ \gamma)f$. Since γ itself is determined by its restriction to the finite set X we can assume $m < \infty$.

If $\rho : F(Z) \rightarrow F(Z)$ is such that $\rho g z_i z_{-i} g' = \rho g g'$ and $\rho g = g$ for all g having no factor of the form $z_i z_{-i}$, the word ρg is the so-called reduced form of g and $\gamma^* \rho g = \gamma^* g$ with $\rho g' \neq \rho g$ i.f.f. $\gamma^* g' \neq \gamma^* g$.

We consider the following special case of the representation defined in the preceding section:

1) K and S are identified with $F' = \{g \in F(Z) : \deg g \leq d\}$

where $d = \max \{\deg \gamma x : x \in X\}$. 2) $\psi g = g$ if $g \in F'$, $\psi g =$ the right factor of degree d of g if $g \notin F'$. 3) $\alpha'(k, s, x) = \rho(syx)$; $\chi(k, s, x) =$

$k \rho(syx) = \sigma'(k, s, x)$.

Thus, if $\bar{g} = (g, s) \in (F(Z), F)$ one computes successively $syx, \rho(syx),$
 $g \rho(syx); \alpha(g, s, x)$ and $\sigma(g, s, x)$ are determined by $\alpha(g, s, x) \sigma(g, s, x) = g \rho(syx)$
and $\sigma(g, s, x) = \psi(g \rho(syx))$. Induction on $\deg f$ shows that for each f the
word $\alpha(1, 1, f) \sigma(1, 1, f)$ is precisely equal to ρf and the result is a conse-
quence of 2.1.

(ii) In the general case [4], $\bar{\gamma}$ is given by a homomorphism $\phi : F(Z) \rightarrow H,$
and a mapping $\gamma : (H, X, H) \rightarrow F(Z)$. Then $\bar{\gamma}f = (\gamma * \circ \gamma(\phi 1, f, \phi 1), \phi f) \in (G, H)$
where $\gamma : (H, F(X), H) \rightarrow F(Z)$ is defined by the identities:

for all $h, h' \in H, f, f' \in F(X), x \in X$

$$\gamma(h, 1, h') = 1; \gamma(h, fxf', h') = \gamma(h, f, (\phi xf')h') \gamma(h\phi f, x, (\phi f')h') \gamma(h\phi fx, f', h').$$

Let $X' = \{x'(h, x, h') : (h, x, h') \in (H, X, H)\}$ be a set of new variables; ξ
and γ' are the homomorphisms of $F(X')$ into $F(X)$ and $F(Z)$ induced by
 $\xi x'(h, x, h') = x$ and $\gamma' x'(h, x, h') = \gamma(h, x, h')$. If $\bar{H} = (H, H) \cup \{0\}$ we define
a representation $(\bar{H}, F(X')) \rightarrow \bar{H}$ of $F(X')$ by the identities:

for all $x' \in X', 0.x' = 0$; for all $x'(h, x, h') \in X'$ and $(h_1, h_2) \in \bar{H},$

$$(h_1, h_2).x'(h, x, h') = (h_1 \phi x, h') \text{ if } h = h_1 \text{ and } h_2 = (\phi x)h'; (h_1, h_2).x'(h, x, h') = 0,$$

otherwise.

Thus, for any $h \in H,$ the restriction of ξ to $F'_h = \{f' \in F(X') : (\phi 1, h).f' =$
 $(h, \phi 1)\}$ is a 1-1 mapping onto $\{f \in F(X) : \phi f = h\}$ and for each $f' \in F'_h,$

$\gamma'f' = \gamma(\phi 1, f', \phi 1)$. It follows that $c_{\bar{g}} = \xi c'_{g, \bar{h}, \bar{h}'}$ = $\Sigma \{ \xi f' : f' \in F(X') : \rho \gamma'f' = g, \bar{h}.f' = \bar{h}' \}$ for suitable $g \in F(Z), \bar{h}, \bar{h}', \in H$.

Now let $S, K, \psi, \alpha', \chi, \sigma'$ be the same as in (i), $\bar{S} = (S, \bar{H})$. For each $\bar{s} = (s, \bar{h}) \in \bar{S}, x' \in X'$, we define: $\bar{\alpha}'(k, \bar{s}, x') = \alpha'(k, s, \xi x')$; $\bar{\chi}(k, \bar{s}, x') = \{ (\chi(k, s, \xi x'), \bar{h}') : \bar{h}' \in \bar{H} \}$; $\bar{\sigma}'(k, \bar{s}, x') = (\sigma'(k, s, \xi x'), \bar{h}.x')$. It is trivial that $(g, (s, \bar{h})).x' = (\alpha(g, s, \xi x'), (\sigma(g, s, \xi x'), \bar{h}.x'))$, identically. Hence, $c'_{g, \bar{h}, \bar{h}'}$

(or $c'_{g, \bar{h}, \bar{h}'} - 1$) is a component of the solution of a proper system $p' \in R_{pol}^{*M}(X' \cup Y)$.

Clearly, if one extends ξ to a homomorphism $R_{pol}^{*M}(X' \cup Y) \rightarrow R_{pol}^{*M}(X \cup Y)$ by $\xi y = y$

for all $y \in Y, p = \xi p'$ is again a proper system and (in the notation of [6])

$p(n) = \xi p'(n)$ for all n . This concludes the verification of (I.alg).

4. Verification of (I'.alg).

Let Z, G, γ^* be the same as in section 3, $1 < m < \infty; \mathcal{R}' = \{ F' \subset F(Z) : F' = (\gamma^{*-1}1) \cap F'', F'' \in \mathcal{R} \}$.

(I'.alg). Any $a \in R_{alg}^{*M}(X)$ can be represented in the form $a = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Sigma \{ \theta g : g \in F', \deg g < n \}$ for some suitable $F' \in \mathcal{R}'$ and homomorphism $\theta : F(Z) \rightarrow R_{pol}(X)$.

Proof. (i) Let a be a component of the solution of the proper system

$(p_j) = p \in R_{pol}^{*M}(X \cup Y)$. The support, Supp. b , of any formal power-series b is the

set of all words having a non-zero coefficient in b . Since each p_j belongs to

$R_{pol}(X \cup Y)$ there exists $d^* < \infty$ such that any $f \in \{ f' \in \text{Supp. } p_j, 1 \leq j \leq M \}$

either belongs to $F(X)$ or has a factorisation

$$f = f_1 y_{i_1} f_2 y_{i_2} f_3 \dots f_d y_{i_d} f_{d+1} \text{ with } f_1, f_2, \dots, f_{d+1} \in F(X), y_{i_1}, y_{i_2}, \dots, y_{i_d} \in Y,$$

$1 \leq d = \deg_Y f < d^*$. We introduce a set $Z = \{z(j, f, d, \epsilon) : 1 \leq j \leq M, f \in \text{Supp. } p_j,$

$1 \leq d \leq d^*, \epsilon = + \text{ or } -\}$ of new variables and make the definitions:

If $f \in F(X) \cap \text{Supp. } p_j$, $\theta z(j, f, d, \epsilon) = \langle p_j, f \rangle f$ if $d = 1$ and $\epsilon = +, = 1$,

otherwise; $\sum_j f = z(j, f, 1, +) z(j, f, 1, -) z(j, f, 2, +) z(j, f, 2, -) \dots z(j, f, d^*, +)$

$z(j, f, d^*, -)$.

If $f = f_1 y_{i_1} f_2 \dots y_{i_d} f_{d+1} \in \text{Supp. } p_j$ as above, $\theta z(j, f, d', \epsilon) = \langle p_j,$

$f \rangle f_1$ if $d' = 1$, and $\epsilon = +; = f_d$, if $1 < d' \leq \deg_Y f + 1$ and $\epsilon = +; = 1$,

otherwise; $\sum_j f = z(j, f, 1, +) y_{i_1} z(j, f, 1, -) z(j, f, 2, +) y_{i_2} z(j, f, 2, -) \dots$

$\dots z(j, f, d, +) y_{i_d} z(j, f, d, -) z(j, f, d+1, +) z(j, f, d+1, -) \dots z(j, f, d^*, +) z(j, f, d^*, -)$.

Thus, $\theta q_j = p_j$ where $q_j = \Sigma \{ \sum_j f : f \in \text{Supp. } p_j \}$ and $q = (q_j) \in R_M^*(Z \cup Y)$

is a proper system such that (in the notation of [6]) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \theta q(n) = p(\infty)$, the

solution of p . Moreover, if $Q_j = \text{Supp. } q_j$, $P_j(n) = F(Z) \cap \text{Supp. } q_j(n)$ and if η_n

is the homomorphism of $F(Z \cup Y)$ into $R_{\text{pol}}(Z)$ induced by $\eta_n z = z$, $\eta_n y_j = P_j(n)$

for all $z \in Z$, $y_j \in Y$, it follows from the definitions that, for all n , $q_j(n+1)$

is the generating function of $\Sigma \{ \eta_n g : g \in Q_j \}$. Hence it suffices to show that

the sets $P_j(\infty)$ have the desired form.

(ii) Let $V \subset F(Z)$ consist of:

all words $z(j,f,d,+)$ $z(j',f',1,+)$ or $z(j',f',d*,-)$ $z(j,f,d+1,-)$

with $d \leq \deg_Y f$ and j' equal to the index i_d of the d -th factor

y_{i_d} of f ;

all words $z(j,f,d,+)$ $z(j,f,d,-)$ with $d > \deg_Y f$;

all words $z(j,f,d,-)$ $z(j,f,d+1,+)$.

We take H in 1-1 correspondence with $\{0, 1, Z, (Z, Z')\}$ and define

the homomorphism $\phi : F(Z) \rightarrow H$ by

$$\phi g = h_g \quad \text{if } \deg g < 2;$$

$\phi g = 0$ if g has at least one factor of degree two not belonging to V ;

$$\phi g = h_{z, z'} \quad \text{if } \phi g \neq 0 \quad \text{and } g \in z F(Z) z'.$$

$$H_j = \{h_{z, z'} : z = z(j, f, 1, +), z' = z(j, f, d^*, -), f \in \text{Supp. } p_j\}.$$

The homomorphism $\gamma^* : F(Z) \rightarrow G$ is defined by $(\gamma^* z(j, f, d, +))^{-1} = \gamma^* z(j, f, d, -)$ for all elements of Z .

Induction on n shows that $P_j^{(\infty)} \subset D = \{g \in F(Z) : \gamma^* g = 1, \phi g \neq 0\}$.

Let $\bar{P}(j, d, d') = \{g \in F(Z) : g \in \text{Supp.}(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \eta_n g') : g' \in Q'(j, d, d')\}$ where

$Q'(j, d, d')$ denotes the set of all $g' \in F(Z \cup Y)$ of the form $z(j, f, d, +) g'' z(j, f, d', -)$

that are a factor of some $g \in Q_j$; $\bar{P} = \bigcup \{P(j, d, d') : 1 \leq j \leq M, 1 \leq d < d' \leq d^*\}$.

Then $\bar{P}(j,d,d') \subset D$ and $P_j(\infty) = \bar{P}(j,1,d^*) = \bar{P} \cap \phi^{-1} H_j$.

Thus, it suffices to show that, conversely, every $g \in D$ belongs to \bar{P} .

This is trivial if $\deg g \leq 2$. We assume the result proved for all words of degree $< n$ and we consider $g \in D$ of degree $n > 2$.

Let the factorisation $g = z g' z' g''$ of g be determined by the condition that $z g' z'$ is the left factor $\neq 1$ of lowest degree of g that satisfies $\gamma^* z g' z' = \gamma^* 1$. Since γ^* is a homomorphism into a free group, this implies $\gamma^* g'' = \gamma^* z z' = \gamma^* g' = \gamma^* 1$.

If $g'' \neq 1$, the induction hypothesis shows that

$z = z(j,f,d,+)$, $z' = z(j,f,d',-)$, $g'' = z(j',f',d'',+)$ $g''' = z(j',f',d''',-)$ for some $j, j', f, f', d, d', d'', d'''$ and $g''' \in F(Z)$. Because of $\phi g \neq 0$, we have $j = j'$, $f = f'$, $d'' = d'+1$ and the result is proved in this case.

If $g'' = 1$, the induction hypothesis shows that $1 \neq g' =$

$z(j',f',d'',+)$ $g''' = z(j',f',d''',-)$. Because of $\phi g \neq 0$ and $\gamma^* z z' = \gamma^* 1$, we have

$z = z(j,f,d,+)$, $d'' = 1$, $d''' = d^*$, (i.e. $g' \in \phi^{-1} H_j$), $z' = z(j,f,d,-)$ and

$z(j,f,d,+) y_j$, $z(j,f,d,-)$ is a factor of a word of Q_j . Thus, $g \in \bar{P}(j,d,d)$ and

the verification of (I'.alg) is completed.

Acknowledgement. Acknowledgement is made to the Commonwealth Fund for the grant in support of the visiting professorship of biomathematics in the Department of Preventive Medicine at Harvard Medical School.

References

1. Kesten, M. Symmetric random walks on groups. Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 92: 336-354, 1959.
2. Rabin, M. O., and Scott, D. Finite automata and their decision problems. I.B.M. Journal of Research. 3: 114-125, 1959.
3. Raney, G. N. Functional composition patterns and power-series reversion. Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 94: 441-451, 1960.
4. Redei, L. Die Verallgemeinerung der schreierschen Erweiterungs Theorie. Acta. Sc. Math. Szeged. 14: 252-273, 1952.
5. Schützenberger, M. P. Un probleme de la theorie des automates. Seminaire Dubreil Pisot, Paris, 13 eme annee, Nov. 1959, No. 3.
6. Schützenberger, M. P. On a theorem of R. Jungen. To appear in Proc. Am. Math. Soc.
7. Shepherdson, J. C. The reduction of two way automata. I.B.M. Journal of Research. 3: 198-200, 1959.