

Corrigendum to “On the theorem of Fredricksen and  
Maiorana about de Bruijn sequences” [Adv. in Appl.  
Math. 33 (2) (2004) 413-415]

Eduardo Moreno<sup>a</sup>, Dominique Perrin<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Faculty of Engineering and Sciences, Universidad Adolfo Ibañez, Santiago, Chile

<sup>b</sup>Université Paris-Est, LIGM, France

---

**Abstract**

In (Discrete Math. 23 (1978) 207–210), Fredricksen and Maiorana prove that the concatenation of Lyndon words of length dividing  $n$  in lexicographic order produces a de Bruijn sequence of span  $n$ , and they state that this word is lexicographically minimal among all de Bruijn sequences of span  $n$ . An alternative proof was presented in (Adv. App. Math. 33 (2004) 413–415). The purpose of this corrigendum is twofold. We give a complete proof, clarifying some ambiguities of the previous proof. Additionally, we include a proof of the minimality of the de Bruijn sequence obtained in this way.

*Keywords:* De Bruijn sequence, Lyndon words, Necklaces  
*2000 MSC:* 05A05

---

Let  $A$  be a finite ordered alphabet and let  $a, z$  be respectively the least and the largest letters of  $A$ . Denote by  $\sigma$  the shift operator defined on a word  $w = a_1a_2 \cdots a_n$  by  $\sigma(w) = a_2 \cdots a_na_1$ . The words  $\sigma^i(w)$  for  $0 \leq i \leq n-1$  are the *conjugates* of  $w$ . A word  $w$  of length  $n$  is *primitive* if it has  $n$  distinct conjugates. A word  $w$  of length  $n$  is *minimal* if it is lexicographically minimal among its conjugates. It is a *Lyndon word* if it is minimal and primitive. For the rest of the notation, we refer the reader to [4]. The following technical lemma is useful to clarify the proof of the main result in [4].

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $w$  be a prefix of length  $n-i$  with  $i > 0$  of a minimal word of length  $n$ , with  $w \neq a^{n-i}$ . Let  $v$  the smallest minimal word of length  $n$  having  $w$  as a prefix. Let  $u$  be the largest minimal word of length  $n$  smaller than  $v$ . Then  $z^i$  is a suffix of  $u$ .*

*Proof.* Note that the condition  $w \neq z^{n-i}$  guarantees the existence of  $v$ . Note also that  $u_1 \dots u_{n-i} < w$ . Suppose that  $z^i$  is not a suffix of  $u$ . Hence,  $u_1 \dots u_{n-i}z^i$

---

*Email addresses:* [eduardo.moreno@uai.cl](mailto:eduardo.moreno@uai.cl) (Eduardo Moreno), [perrin@univ-mlv.fr](mailto:perrin@univ-mlv.fr) (Dominique Perrin)

is a minimal word of length  $n$ , larger than  $u$  and smaller than  $v$ , which is a contradiction.  $\square$

The following result is due to Fredericksen and Maiorana [2] (see also the expositions in Knuth's book [3] where de Bruijn cycles are presented in the context of the generation of all  $n$ -tuples and in [5]). Let  $\mathcal{L}_n$  be the set of Lyndon words of length dividing  $n$ .

**Theorem 1** ([2]). *For any  $n \geq 1$ , the lexicographic concatenation of the words of  $\mathcal{L}_n$  generates a de Bruijn sequence of span  $n$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be the sequence obtained by concatenation of the words in  $\mathcal{L}_n$  in lexicographic order<sup>1</sup>.

We will prove that for any minimal word  $w$  of length  $n$ , all its conjugates  $\sigma^i(w)$ ,  $i = 0 \dots n - 1$ , are substrings of  $\mathcal{B}$ . Let  $w = w_1 \dots w_j z^{n-j}$  be a minimal word, with  $w_j < z$  for some  $j \in \{0, \dots, n\}$ .

First, we prove this for the first  $j$  conjugates  $\sigma^i(w)$ ,  $i = 0, \dots, j - 1$ . Note that these words have the form  $w_{i+1} \dots w_j z^{n-j} w_1 \dots w_i$ .

If  $w$  is not a Lyndon word (that is, it is not primitive), let  $\hat{w}$  be the primitive root of  $w$  and let  $l$  be its length. Note that  $\hat{w}$  has the form  $w_1 \dots w_{j'} z^{l-j'}$  with  $l - j' = n - j$ . In this case, we only need to prove that the first  $j' - 1$  conjugates appear in  $\mathcal{B}$  (the other ones appear among the last  $n - j$  conjugates). Since the word  $x$  of  $\mathcal{L}_n$  next to  $\hat{w}$  in lexicographic order<sup>1</sup> has the form  $x = \hat{w}^{\frac{n}{l}-1} w_1 \dots w_{j'-1} (w_{j'} + 1) b_{j'+1} \dots b_l$ , it follows that  $\sigma^i(w)$  is a substring of  $\hat{w}x$  for  $i = 0, \dots, j' - 1$ .

If  $w$  is primitive, let  $x$  be the minimal word of length  $n$  (not necessarily primitive), next to  $w$  in lexicographic order. Therefore  $x$  has the form  $w_1 \dots w_{j-1} (w_j + 1) b_{j+1} \dots b_n$  and in this case  $\sigma^i(w)$  is a substring of  $wx$  for  $i = 0, \dots, j - 1$ . If  $x$  is primitive, then  $wx$  is a substring of  $\mathcal{B}$  and we are done. Otherwise, by the previous argument,  $x$  is a prefix of  $\hat{x}y$  where  $\hat{x}$  is the primitive root of  $x$  and  $y$  is the word of  $\mathcal{L}_n$  next to  $x$  in lexicographic order. Hence,  $wx$  is a substring of  $w\hat{x}y$  and therefore it is a substring of  $\mathcal{B}$ .

Second, we show that the last  $n - j$  conjugate words are substrings of  $\mathcal{B}$ . Note that these words have the form  $z^i w_1 \dots w_j z^{n-j-i}$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, n - j$ . Let  $v$  be the first minimal word of length  $n$  with prefix  $w_1 \dots w_j z^{n-j-i}$ . By previous steps, we know that  $v$  appears in  $\mathcal{B}$ . By Lemma 1, the previous minimal word of length  $n$  has the form  $u = u_1 \dots u_{n-i} z^i$  with  $u_1 \dots u_{n-i} < w_1 \dots w_j z^{n-j-i}$ . Hence, the largest word in  $\mathcal{L}_n$  which is smaller than the root of  $v$  has suffix  $z^i$ , and thus  $z^i w_1 \dots w_j z^{n-j-i}$  is a substring of  $\mathcal{B}$ . Note that Lemma 1 cannot be applied if  $w_1 \dots w_j = a^j$  and  $i = n - j$  (that is,  $\sigma^i(w) = z^{n-j} a^j$ ), but it is easy to see that these words and the remaining case  $w = z^n$  appear in the concatenation of the end and the beginning of  $\mathcal{B}$ , which is  $z^n a^n$ .

Finally, since the length of  $\mathcal{B}$  is exactly  $\text{Card}(A)^n$ , all words of length  $n$  appear only once.  $\square$

---

<sup>1</sup>A correction to the proof in [4] is made here

The *cyclic factors* of a word are the factors of its conjugates. A *partial de Bruijn word* of span  $n$  is a word of length  $N$  which has  $N$  distinct cyclic factors and such that its set of cyclic factors is closed under conjugacy. Thus an ordinary de Bruijn word corresponds to the case  $N = \text{Card}(A)^n$ .

The following result is proved in [4].

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $\ell_1, \dots, \ell_m$  be the words of  $\mathcal{L}_n$  in lexicographic order, then for any  $s < m$ ,  $\ell_s \ell_{s+1} \dots \ell_m$  is a partial de Bruijn sequence of span  $n$ .*

For example, for  $A = \{a, b\}$  and  $n = 4$ , we have  $m = 6$ . Taking  $s = 3$ , we obtain the partial de Bruijn sequence  $aabb ab abbb b$  with set of cyclic factors of length 4, the 11 conjugates of  $aabb, abab, abbb$  and  $bbbb$ .

Let us mention another, recently obtained, variant of Theorem 1: the concatenation of the Lyndon words of length  $n$  in lexicographic order produces a sequence in which all primitive words of length  $n$  appear exactly once as a cyclic factor [1]. For example, the cyclic factors of the word  $aaab aabb abbb$  are the 12 primitive words of length 4.

Finally, in [2] the lexicographical minimality of the de Bruijn sequence described by Theorem 1 is stated, but not formally proved. We use Corollary 1 to provide a simple proof of this minimality.

**Theorem 2.** *The de Bruijn sequence obtained by Theorem 1 is lexicographically minimal among all de Bruijn sequences of span  $n$ .*

*Proof.* By contradiction, suppose that there exists another de Bruijn sequence  $\mathcal{B}$  that is minimal lexicographically. Let  $wu$  and  $wv$  be the substrings of length  $n$  where these two sequences first differ, with  $w \in A^{n-1}$  and  $u, v \in A$ ,  $u < v$ .

Let us denote  $M(z)$  be the minimal word of length  $n$  conjugate of a given  $z \in A^n$ . Note first that  $M(wu) < M(wv)$ . In fact, let  $k$  be such that  $M(wv) = \sigma^k(wv)$ . Then  $M(wu) \leq \sigma^k(wu) < \sigma^k(wv) = M(wv)$ .

Second, note that  $wu$  appears after  $wv$  in  $\mathcal{B}$ . Let us assume that  $M(wu)$  and  $M(wv)$  are Lyndon words (that is, they are primitive). By Corollary 1, this means that  $wu$  appears in the partial de Bruijn sequence constructed from the concatenation of the Lyndon word associated with  $wv$  and all the subsequent words of  $\mathcal{L}_n$  in lexicographical order, which contradicts the fact that  $M(wu) < M(wv)$ . Note that the same arguments apply if  $M(wu)$  or  $M(wv)$  are not primitive, because both words appear in the concatenation of their corresponding Lyndon word and the next word of  $\mathcal{L}_n$  in the lexicographical order.  $\square$

*Acknowledgments.* We thank Jeffrey Shallit for suggesting improvements in the proof of the main result of [4].

- [1] Yu Hin Au. Shortest sequences containing primitive words and powers. 2013. arXiv:0904.3997.
- [2] Harold Fredricksen and James Maiorana. Necklaces of beads in  $k$  colors and  $k$ -ary de Bruijn sequences. *Discrete Math.*, 23(3):207–210, 1978.

- [3] Donald E. Knuth. *The Art of Computer Programming*, volume 4A Combinatorial Algorithms, Part 1. Addison Wesley, 2011.
- [4] Eduardo Moreno. On the theorem of Fredricksen and Maiorana about de Bruijn sequences. *Adv. in Appl. Math.*, 33(2):413–415, 2004.
- [5] Dominique Perrin and Antonio Restivo. Enumerative combinatorics on words. In Miklos Bona, editor, *Handbook of Enumerative Combinatorics*. CRC Press, 2014.